

IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO. II ,TIRUPATTUR,
TIRUPATTUR DISTRICT

Present:- Tr. S. Kovendhan., B.A., L.L.B., (Hons).,
Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tirupathur

Thursday, this the 04th day of June-2026

CrI.MP. No.1496/2026

in

Cr.No.247/2026

A1. Tirupathy, S/o.Kaliyappan

A2.Tirumalai, S/o.Tirupathy

..... Petitioner's

-Vs-

The SSI of Police,
Tirupathur Taluk Police Station,
Tirupathur.

Crime No.247/2026

u/s. 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS.

.....Respondent

This bail application came before me on 04.06.2026 in the presence of Mr.N.Mathivanan Advocate for Petitioner and Learned Assistant public prosecutor, Grade.II appearing for the Respondent/Complainant, this court delivers the following,

ORDER

1. This bail petition has been filed by the petitioner under section 480 of BNSS to release the petitioner's/accused on bail, they were arrested and remanded to Judicial custody on 28.05.2026 in Cr.No.247/2026 for alleged offence u/s. 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS.

2. The respondent police has submitted reply that, the accused were in judicial custody, and A3 accused is still absconding and yet to be arrested. If the accused were enlarged on bail they may abscond and involve in the same kind of offence and tamper the witness and also create law & order problems as they belongs to the defacto complainant's village. The injured in this case was discharged from hospital. So strongly object to grant bail to the accused.

3. The Learned APP has submitted reply that, the investigation of this case is pending, and A3 accused is still absconding and yet to be arrested, if the accused were enlarged on bail they may abscond and involve in the same kind of offence and tamper the witness. The injured in this case was discharged from hospital. So strongly object to grant bail to the accused.

4. Heard Both. Records perused. The same shows that this Petitioner/Accused were remanded to Judicial custody in this case on 28.05.2026 and incarcerated in jail for 8 days. Reply of the respondent police and Learned APP shows that, object to grant bail to this petitioner/accused. Records shows that the most part of the investigation in this case is over. The case property was seized from petitioner/accused during the time of arrest. Further the injured in this case was discharged from hospital. Hence, considering nature of the offence and the period of incarceration, this court is inclined to enlarge the accused on bail on following conditions,

a) That the petitioners/accused shall execute bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each.

b) That the Petitioners/accused shall appear and sign before respondent police station daily by Morning 10.00 A.M for 30 days.

c) That the Petitioners/accused shall cooperate for interrogation as and when required by the respondent police.

d) That the petitioners/accused shall not tamper with witness and abscond and shall not involve in similar kind of offence.

e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law (P.K.Shaji Vs State vs Kerala (2005) A.I.R. SCW 5560) be taken.

f) If, the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh F.I.R. be registered u/s 269 of BNS.

*Dictated to Steno - typist and typed by her
and corrected by me and pronounced by me
on 4th day of June - 2026.*

**Judicial Magistrate No.II
Tirupathur.**