

IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO. II ,TIRUPATTUR,
TIRUPATTUR DISTRICT

Present:- Tr.S.Kovendhan.,B.A.,L.L.B.,(Hons).,
Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tirupathur

Thursday, this the 26th day of March – 2026

CMP.No.979/2026

in

Cr.No.127/2025

Vijayakumar, S/o.Devarathinam

..... Petitioner

-Vs-

The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Kurisilapet Police Station,
Tirupathur.

Crime No.127/2025

U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS
& 4 of TNPHW Act

.....Respondent

This bail application came before me on 26.03.2026 in the presence of Mr.C.Cheran Advocate for Petitioner and Learned Assistant public prosecutor, Grade.II appearing for the Respondent/Complainant, this court delivers the following,

ORDER

1. This bail petition has been filed by the petitioner under section 480 of BNSS to release the petitioner/accused on bail, he was arrested and remanded to Judicial custody on 17.09.2025 in Cr.No.127/2025 for alleged offence U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS & 4 of TNPHW Act.

2. The respondent police has submitted reply that, if the accused is enlarge on bail he may abscond and involve in the same kind of offence and tamper the witness. The injured person was discharged from hospital. So, strongly object to grant bail.

3. The Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor submitted reply that, the investigation of this case not yet completed. If he enlarge on bail, he may abscond and tamper the

witness and involve in the same kind of offence. Further the injured person was discharged from hospital. Hence it is strongly opposed to enlarge the bail to accused.

4. Heard Both. Records perused. The same shows that this Petitioner/Accused is remanded to Judicial custody in this case on 17.09.2025 and incarcerated in jail for 191 days. Reply of the respondent police and Learned APP shows that, object to grant bail to this accused. Records shows that the most part of the investigation in this case is over. The case property was seized from accused during the time of arrest. The injured person was discharged from hospital. Hence, considering nature of the offence and the period of incarceration, this court is inclined to enlarge the accused on bail on following conditions,

a) That the petitioners/accused shall execute bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties.

b) That the Petitioners/accused shall appear and sign before respondent police station daily by Morning 10.00 A.M for 30 days.

c) That the Petitioners/accused shall cooperate for interrogation as and when required by the respondent police.

d) That the petitioners/accused shall not tamper with witness and abscond and shall not involve in similar kind of offence.

e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law (P.K.Shaji Vs State vs Kerala (2005) A.I.R. SCW 5560) be taken.

f) If, the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh F.I.R. be registered u/s 269 of BNS.

***Dictated to steno-typist and typed by her
and corrected by me and pronounced by me
on 26th day of March 2026.***

**Judicial Magistrate No.II
Tirupathur.**