

**IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO. II ,TIRUPATTUR,**  
**TIRUPATTUR DISTRICT**

**Present:- Tr.S.Kovendhan.,B.A.,L.L.B.,(Hons).,**  
**Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tirupathur**

**Tuesday, this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March - 2026**

**CMP. No.853/2026**

in

**Cr.No.58/2026**

Vengatesan, S/o.Krishnan

..... Petitioner

-Vs-

The Sub-Inspector of Police,  
Kandili Police Station,  
Tirupathur.

Crime No.58/2026.

U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS

.....Respondent

This bail application came before me on 10.03.2026 in the presence of Mr.A.Arivusudar Advocate for Petitioner and Learned Assistant public prosecutor, Grade.II appearing for the Respondent/Complainant, this court delivers the following,

**ORDER**

1. This bail petition has been filed by the petitioner under section 480 of BNSS to release the petitioner/accused on bail, he was arrested and remanded to Judicial custody on 11.02.2026 in Cr.No.58/2026 for alleged offence U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS.
2. The respondent police has submitted reply that, the investigation of this case is pending, if this accused is enlarged on bail he may involve in the same kind of offence and the injured person was discharged from hospital. So strongly object to grant bail to the accused.
3. The Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor submitted reply that, the investigation of this case is not yet completed. If the accused enlarged on bail, again the similar offence may happened since the situation not yet settled. The accused may also tamper the evidences. Further more the injured person was discharged from hospital. So strongly opposed to enlarge the accused on bail.

4. Heard Both. Records perused. The same shows that this Petitioner/Accused is remanded to Judicial custody in this case on 11.02.2026 and incarcerated in jail for 28 days. Reply of the respondent police and Learned APP shows that, object to grant bail to this accused. Records shows that the most part of investigation in this case is over. The case property was seized from accused during the time of arrest. Further more the injured person was discharged from hospital. Hence, considering nature of the offence and the period of incarceration, this court is inclined to enlarge the accused on bail on following conditions,

a) That the petitioners/accused shall execute bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties.

b) That the Petitioners/accused shall appear and sign before respondent police station daily by Morning 10.00 A.M for 30 days.

c) That the Petitioners/accused shall cooperate for interrogation as and when required by the respondent police.

d) That the petitioners/accused shall not tamper with witness and abscond and shall not involve in similar kind of offence.

e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law (P.K.Shaji Vs State vs Kerala (2005) A.I.R. SCW 5560) be taken.

f) If, the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh F.I.R. be registered u/s 269 of BNS.

*Dictated to steno-typist and typed by  
her and corrected by me and  
pronounced by me on 10<sup>th</sup> day of March  
2026.*

**Judicial Magistrate No.II  
Tirupathur.**