

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
OTTAPIDARAM

O.S.No.18/2022

Sathianarayanan

(Through the Power Agent Mr. Sekar)

...Plaintiff

Vs

1. A. Dharamaraj (Died)
2. K. Palraj
3. P. Shanmugaiah Pandian
4. Subbulakshmi (Died)
5. Renuka
6. Ramalakshmi
7. Rathika

(The defendants 6 to 8 are impleaded as per order in I.A.No.1180/2013 dated:16.07.2014)

...Defendants

Valuation immovable property for purposes of court fees

Section and Sub Section of the Act	Nature of Suit	Annual Revenue or rent payable	Market value	Value for purpose of Court fees
U/s.25(d)	Declaration (Null and void)	-	Rs.1000/-	Rs.75.50/-
U/s.27(c)	Declaration (Null and void)	-	Rs.1000/-	Rs.75.50/-
U/s.25(d)	Declaration (Null and void)	-	Rs.1000/-	Rs.75.50/-
Total				Rs.226.50/-

// By order //

Headclerk

District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court,
Ottapidaram.

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
OTTAPIDARAM**

Present : Thiru. A. DHARANIDHARAN, B.Sc., M.L., M.A., LL.M., Dip.JJP, PGDDF

District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Ottapidaram

Dated this the 23rd June 2026, Tuesday

Original Suit No.18/2022

CNR. No. TTTT17-001391-2022

D.Sathianarayanan, S/o.Dharmaraj, Hindu, (Age 38/2021), Onamakulam Village, Ottapidaram Taluk, Thoothukudi District, Now at NY 11751 Hyslip, Newyork Town, America. (Through his Power Agent Smt.Renuka, W/o.Sekar, (Age 42/2022), N.Subbiahpuram Village, Sattur Taluk, Virudhunagar District.)

...Plaintiff

Vs

1. A. Dharmaraj (Died), S/o.Alwar Naicker, (Age 52/2022), Onamakulam Village, Ottapidaram Taluk, Thoothukudi District.
2. K. Palraj, S/o.Kuselevan, 3/38, Middle Street, Parivallikottai Village, Ottapidaram Taluk, Thoothukudi District.
3. P. Shanmugaiah Pandian, S/o.Paramasivan Thalaivar, 7/10 West Street, age not know, Veerapandia Pulikulam Ottapidaram Taluk, Thoothukudi District.
4. Subbulakshmi (Died), W/o.Late Dharmaraj, (Age 70/2022), Onamakulam Village, Ottapidaram Taluk, Thoothukudi District.
5. Renuka, W/o.Sekar D/o.Dharmaraj, (age 42/2022), Subbiahyapuram Village, Sattur Taluk, Virudhunagar District.
6. R.Ramalakshmi, W/o.Rajendran, D/o.Dharmaraj, (Age 40/2022), 4/209 N.G.O Colony Thangavel Street, Coimbatore.

7. Rathika, W/o.Sankar, D/o.Late.Dharmaraj, (Age 36/2022), D.No.65 Railway feeder Road Periya Naickerpalayam Post, Coimbatore – 641020.

(The defendants 6 to 8 are impleaded as per order in I.A.No.1180/2013 dated:16.07.2014)

...Defendants

This suit has been filed by the plaintiff to declare that the sale deed executed by the 1st defendant in favor of the 2nd defendant by way of registered document No. 6/2009 on 05/01/2009 on the file of Sub Registrar, Kadambur and the sale deed executed by second Defendant in favor of 3rd defendant and registered document number 233 of 2010 on 26/02/2010 on the file of the Sub-Registrar, Kadambur with respect to scheduled property are null and void. To grant an order of permanent injunction restraining the third defendant from interfering with the possession and enjoyment of the schedule properties by this Plaintiff. Alternatively, a. To direct the 3rd defendant to hand over possession of the schedule properties to this plaintiff within a time specified by this Court. b. To direct the defendants to pay the cost of this suit to this plaintiff. c. To grant such other or further relief as this Court may deem fit.

Date of filing : 28.04.2011

Cause of Action : 25.08.1975, 16.09.2008, 24.06.2010
at Onamakulam Village.

The plaintiffs paid Court Fee under section 25(d) of Tamil Nadu Court Fee Act is Rs.75.50/-, under section 27(c) Tamil Nadu Court Fee Act is Rs.75.50/-, under

section 25(d) of Tamil Nadu Court Fee Act is Rs.75.50/-. Total Court fee Rs.226.50/-.

This suit was originally tried before the District Munsif, Kovilpatti as O.S. No. 85 of 2011 and later as per the proceedings of the Hon'ble Principal District Judge, Thoothukudi on account of the constitution of the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Ottapidaram and on the point of territorial jurisdiction, this case was transferred and re-assigned number as O.S. 18 of 2022. This case having been finally heard on 25/06/2026 in the presence of the learned Counsel for the Plaintiff Mr. K. Balamurugan and the learned counsel for the contesting defendants Thiru. S. Pandithurai for 3rd defendant, Thiru. Jothi Kailash for the 5th defendant and after perusal of the entire records and having stood over for my consideration till this day, this Court delivers the following:

DECREE

- 1) In the result, the Suit is dismissed.
- 2) No order for costs

VALUATION SLIP

	Plaintiffs	Defendants
	Rs.	Rs.
Court Fee	226.50	—
Vakalath	10.00	—
Total	227.50	—

Plaintiff Valuation Slip was calculated under 96 CPC.

தபசில்

1. தூத்துக்குடி மாவட்டம், ஓட்டப்பிடாரம் தாலுகா, ஓனமாக்குளம் கிராமத்தில் சர்வே எண்.102/4-ல் 0.44.5 ஹெக்டேர் உள்ள புஞ்சை நிலம்.
2. ஷை மாவட்டம், ஷை தாலுகா, ஷை கிராமத்தில் சர்வே எண்.131/5-பி-ல் 0.08.5 ஹெக்டேர் உள்ள நஞ்சை நிலம்.
3. ஷை மாவட்டம், ஷை தாலுகா, ஷை கிராமத்தில் சர்வே எண்.131/6-பி-ல் 1.03.0 ஹெக்டேர் உள்ள புஞ்சை நிலம் மற்றும் அதில் உள்ள கிணறு வாய்க்கால் பம்ப்செட் வகையறாவும்.

Pronounced by me in the open court, on this 23rd day of June 2026.

District Musif Cum Judicial Magistrate,
Ottapidaram.

மா.உ.ம.கு.நீ.ந.நீதிமன்றம்,
ஓட்டப்பிடாரம்
அசல் வழக்கு எண்.18/2022
தீர்ப்பாணை
நாள்-23-06-2026.