

**IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, OTTAPIDARAM.**

Present : S. PACKIARAJ, B.A.,B.L.,

District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Ottapidaram (FAC)

Dated this the 23rd day of March 2026

Crl.M.P.91/2026

in

Cr.No.8/2026

(CNR No. TNTT17 – 000652 – 2026)

Thalavairaj, (Age 33/2026),

S/o.Ariramadurai,

1/139, Yathavar Street,

Mela Thattaparai,

Ottapidaram Taluk,

Thoothukudi District.

...Petitioner/ Accused

/Vs/

State represented by

Sub Inspector of Police,

Thattaparai Police Station,

Crime No. 8/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

The petition was taken on file on 11.03.2026. The Learned counsel Tr.T.Viswanathan M.A., B.L., appearing for the petitioner/Accused and the Tr.P.Murugesan Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor Grade-II appearing on behalf of the Respondent/Complainant, Coming before me for final hearing on 23.03.2026 and after hearing both sides and having stood over for consideration till this date this court deliver the following:

BAIL ORDER

The Petitioner / Accused who is arrested and remanded to the Judicial Custody on 11.03.2026 in Crime No.8/2026 which was Registered by the Respondent Police for the offence punishable U/s.331(4), 305(a) of BNS.

The learned counsel petitioner/accused stated that, the petitioner/accused is alleged to have committed an offence under section 331(4), 305(a) of BNS. The petitioner/accused is innocent and he has not committed any offence. The petitioner/accused has been falsely implicated in this case due to enmity. The petitioner/accused is a man of means and he is prepared to furnish sureties for his release on bail. The petitioner/accused is in judicial custody from 11.03.2026. The petitioner/accused ensures for his prompt appearance for each and every hearing. If the petitioner/accused ensures for his prompt appearance for each and every hearing. If the petitioner/accused is released in bail, he will not abscond or evade the due process of law. The petitioner/accused offers bail. The petitioner/accused submits that the question of tampering or hampering with the prosecution witnesses will not arise, since the witnesses are partisan in nature. Hence he would pray to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

The Notice issued to the learned APP and the Respondent Police. Reply received. In their reply the police have stated that, investigation is not yet completed. Under such circumstances, if this Petitioner/Accused is released on bail, he will tamper the witnesses, will involve in the same kind of offences in future and may have chances to abscond. Hence, the Respondent/Police objected to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Further it appears from the records that the accused is in judicial custody for the past 13 days and the material portion of the investigation of this case was might have been completed by this time. On considering the above facts and circumstance

of the case and the period of incarceration, investigation is almost over, this court is inclined to allow this petition with conditions.

Accordingly, this bail petition is allowed.

i)	that the petitioner/accused shall execute a bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum each.
ii)	<u>that the petitioner/accused shall report and sign before the respondent police daily once at 10.00 A.M., for the period of 20 days.</u>
iii)	that the petitioner/accused shall not tamper the witness and hamper the evidence
iv)	that the petitioner/accused shall appear in this court without fail during the trial
v)	that the petitioner/accused shall comply the above said conditions without fail, failing which the bail granted to him shall automatically be cancelled.

Dictated by me to the Steno Typist, typed in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this the 23rd day of March 2026.

Sd/-Tr.S. PACKIARAJ
District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate,
Ottapidaram (FAC)