

IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO-I, KOVILPATTI

Present: Tr. K. Anand M.L.,

Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kovilpatti

Tuesday 21st day of July 2026

CrI.M.P. No: 889 /2026 in Cr. No.266/2026

CNR. No. TNTT07-003312-2026

Manikandan, (Aged 27/2026)

S/o. Ayyanar

Petitioner/Accused

vs

State of Tamil Nadu Rep. By

Sub Inspector of police,

Nalattinpathur P.S.

Respondent/Complainant

The learned counsel Mrs. K. Rathika appeared for the petitioner and learned A.P.P appeared for the state and perusing the material records before the court and having stood over for consideration till this day this court delivered the following:

ORDER

1. The petitioner filed the above petition seeking bail U/s. 480 of BNSS for the alleged offence U/s. 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 4 of TNPHW Act and he was remanded to judicial custody on 15.07.2026.

2. The prosecution case is that, the petitioner uttered obscene words and caused hurt and criminally intimidated and caused harassment to women.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case by the respondent police and the petitioner is an innocent person and law abiding citizen and the petitioner has been in Judicial custody from 15.07.2026 and the petitioner is ready to furnish sureties and no similar bail application is pending in any other court. Hence, the petition may be allowed on any conditions.

4. Per contra, the prosecution side vehemently contended that if the petitioner is released on bail he will commit the similar offence or he may tamper with the evidences and he has been continuously committing the offence of crimes against women and accused has seven previous cases, among them four cases are convicted and history sheet maintained in Nalattinpuhur P.S. Hence, prays for dismissal of this petition. Moreover CrI.M.P. No.900/2026 is pending in this court for taking action against the accused u/s.141(1)(b) of BNS for violation of bond executed u/s.129 of BNSS in M.C. No.65/2026 of executive Magistrate, Kovilpatti.

5. On perusal of the entire materials available on record it reveals that, the petitioner has been in judicial custody for the past 7 days. In the above circumstances and looking into the nature of allegation and the role attributed by the petitioner/accused and the fact that investigation regarding the offence is underway and if the accused is released on bail accused there is possibility of tampering of evidence and influencing witnesses and may commit similar offence and accused has previous criminal antecedents and proceedings in CrI.M.P. No.900/2026 is pending against the accused, this court is of the opinion that it is not a fit case for grant of bail for the time being now.

In the result, this petition is deserves to be dismissed and is accordingly dismissed.

The above order was dictated to Steno-typist and typed by her and verified, pronounced by me in the open court this the 21st day of July 2026.

Judicial Magistrate No. 1,
Kovilpatti.