

IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO-I,KOVILPATTI

Present: Tr. K. Anand, B.A, M.L.,

Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kovilpatti

Monday 24th day of August 2026

S.T.C. No : 98 /2026 in M.P. No.11/2026

The state of Tamil Nadu Rep. By

Sub Inspector of police,

Nalattinpuhur P.S.

Cr. No.34/2024

Petitioner/Complainant

Vs

Balaji

Respondent/Accused

The petition is filed by Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor Gr.I (I/C) for the petitioner and Learned Advocate Mr. A. Balasubramanian appeared for the Respondent and having perused the available materials on record this court delivered the following.

ORDER

1. This is a petition filed u/s.311 of Cr.P.C by the prosecution.
2. The petitioner/complainant in the petition states that the witness namely Ravichandran SSI has to be examined on the side of prosecution. Therefore, this petition is filed under section 311 of Cr. P. C. for examination of above witness.
3. The Respondent endorsed that no objection.

The point for consideration is whether this petition is to be allowed or not?

4. Records perused. Both sides heard.
5. The petitioner case is that, the SSI, Ravichandran LW12 has to be examined on the side of prosecution to mark the FIR which was omitted to be marked as exhibit during the examination of PW8. The respondent side endorsed that no counter. The only relief of the petitioner is to mark the FIR through LW12.
6. Considering the fact that no prejudice will be caused to the accused and giving fair opportunity to prosecution to prove their case and above witness is witness in this case and above witness is relevant to this case and interest of

justice, above First Information Report is permitted to mark on the side of petitioner through the above said LW12 Ravichandran.

Hence, interest of justice this petition is allowed accordingly.

Dictated to Steno - Typist and typed by her and verified, pronounced by me in the open court this the 24th day of August 2026.

Judicial Magistrate No. 1,
Kovilpatti