

I.A. no. 2-2025 in SC 582-2025

State Vs Ramo

FIR no. 234/2025

P.S. BHD Nagar

U/s. 8/20 NDPS Act

11.11.2025

File taken up today on an application of bail moved on behalf of the applicant/accused Ramo.

Present: Sh. Brijesh Kumar, Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State.

IO absent.

Sh. Mahipal Singh, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused Ramo.

This is an application under Section 483 BNSS for grant of bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused Ramo W/o Chandervedi.

Reply to the bail application already filed.

Arguments heard.

It is stated by Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused that applicant/accused is innocent and falsely implicated in this case and in JC since 19.07.2025. It is further stated alleged quantity recovered from the applicant is not fall under the NDPS Act. It is further stated that chargesheet in the present case has been filed. It is further stated that notice under section 50 NDPS Act is not in accordance with the mandate of NDPS Act. It is further stated that applicant/accused is old age person and she is suffering from multiple medical conditions due to her old age. It is further stated that there is no chance of her absconding or tampering with the evidence. It is further stated that the accused shall abide

by all the conditions imposed by the court. It is prayed that applicant/accused be granted bail.

Ld. Substitute Addl. P.P. for the State has opposed the bail application stating that the allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature and prayed that bail application be dismissed.

As per previous involvement report filed by the IO, the accused is found to be involved in multiple cases under Excise Act during the year 2020 and 2023 and thereafter, the accused was apprehended in another case FIR no. 507/2023 for her involvement in NDPS which is also pending trial before this court. After being released on bail in the said case, the accused has again involved herself in the present case FIR. The conduct of the accused is such that she does not deserve any leniency of the court. However, the accused has not been convicted in any of the FIR pending against her. Ld. Counsel for the accused has specifically given undertaking that she will not indulge in any other case and hence in the light of the undertaking given by the Ld. Counsel for the accused and keeping in view her old age, applicant/accused Ramo W/o Sh. Chandervedi is admitted to bail on her furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two surety of the like amount.

The bail is granted subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant/accused shall not directly or indirectly in any manner contact, influence or threaten the witnesses;

I.A. no. 2-2025 in SC 582-2025
State Vs Ramo
FIR no. 234/2025

-3-

2. The applicant/accused shall not leave country without prior permission of the court;
3. The applicant/accused shall not tamper with evidence of the case in any manner.
4. The applicant/accused shall not commit similar offence, failing which State shall be entitled for cancellation of bail.

Put up on date already fixed i.e. **09.12.2025**.

Copy of the order be sent to accused through Jail Superintendent concerned. Copy of order be also given dasti to the parties.

(MANU GOEL KHARB)
SPECIAL JUDGE(NDPS)-02
DWARKA COURTS, NEW DELHI
11.11.2025