

**BEFORE THE II ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT,
THOOTHUKUDI**

Present : Tmt.M.Breetha, M.L.,

II Additional District & Sessions Judge, Thoothukudi

Thursday, the 09th day of July 2026

Cr.M.P. No. 2823/2026

in

S.C. No. 187/2018

C.N.R. No. TNTT01-004250-2026

Muneeswaran (Age 29/2026)

S/o. Chetti Perumal

... Petitioner / Accused No.3

/Vs/

State of Tamil Nadu represented by

Inspector of Police,

Thalamuthunagar Police Station,

Crime No. 2/2017

... Respondent/ Complainant

This petition came before me for final hearing on 09.07.2026 in the presence of Thiru.E.Gururaj, Counsel for the petitioner/Accused and Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State of Tamil Nadu, and upon hearing the arguments of both side counsels and on perusing the records this court delivers the following:-

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners/Accused U/s. 483 of B.N.S.S. praying for bail.

2) The respondent police have registered a case for the alleged offence U/s. 294(b), 506(ii), 302, 147, 148, 342, 149, 114, 34 of IPC and Section 8(c), 20(b) (ii)(B) NDPS Act IPC against the petitioner/accused and other accused.

3) The case of the prosecution is that, on 01.01.2017 the petitioner/accused along with other accused waylaid the deceased and used filthy language, wrongful confinement and also committed murder and threatened him. Hence, this case was registered against this petitioner/Accused No.3 and other accused.

4) The learned counsel appearing for petitioner would submit that on 16.03.2026, this Hon'ble court issued NBW against this petitioner/accused no.3 due to non-appearance on that day. Hence the respondent police executed a NBW against this petitioner and the petitioner was produced in PT warrant before this court on

24.04.2026. The petitioner/Accused is innocent and he has not been committed any offence as alleged by the respondent police and the petitioner was working as coolie and he was not able to attend before this court on 16.03.2026 and the default is neither willful nor wanton and that the above said reason the petitioner was not able to contact his counsel and also he was not able to attend further hearings and he is prepared to furnish sufficient sureties for his release on bail and the petitioner is law abiding citizen and he will not abscond or evade due process of law and the petitioner will not tamper the prosecution witnesses in this case and he also undertakes to appear regularly and promptly before this court and hence, the learned counsel for the petitioner prays for an order to enlarge him on bail.

5) The learned Public Prosecutor has raised objections to release the petitioner on bail the petitioner will commit the same kind of offence again and will not turn up for hearings and raised serious objections to release the petitioner/accused on bail.

6) On perusal of records, the stage of the case and objections raised by the respondent, this court is of the view that it is not a fit case to enlarge the petitioner on bail. In the light of the above findings, this court is of the view that this petition is devoid of merits and is liable to be dismissed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Directly dictated to Steno-Typist, typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this 09th day of June 2026.

**II Additional District & Sessions Judge,
Thoothukudi.**

**Draft/Fair Order
CrI.M.P. No. 2823/2026
in
S.C. No. 187/2018
Date : 09.07.2026**