

23

Cr. Case 3669/2026

STATE Vs. VIPIN@Bhanja

112/2026 (Raj Park)

26.05.2026

Present: Ms. Tanya Uppal, Ld. APP for the State.
Accused Vipin @ Bhanja, Harsh @ Muderu produced from JC.
Accused Tarun and Ajay in person.
Ms. Sonika Rani, Ld. counsel for accused Ajay.
Sh. Divyanshu, ld. counsel for accused Tarun.
Ms. Mokshada Aggarwal, Ld. LADC.

Accused Vipin and Harsh submits that due to financial constraints, he is unable to engage a counsel and as such he wishes to avail the services of DLSA. Accordingly, Ms. Mokshada Aggarwal, Ld. LADC is appointed to represent the accused Vipin and Harsh in the present case.

Complete set of chargesheet and annexed documents has been supplied to all the accused persons in compliance of Section 207 Cr.P.C/230 of BNSS against written acknowledgment.

At this stage, an application for release of accused Vipin @ Bhanja on personal bond is pending adjudication.

Wife of accused Vipin @ Bhanja is present in the Court who states that he is residing with her mother and two children. Accused was granted bail vide order dated 12.05.2026 with directions to furnish bail bonds and surety bonds in sum of Rs. 10,000/- each. After going through the submissions made and reply on personal bond received to the effect that even landlord has denied any responsibility for accused and neighbours also stated not to be known to accused, this Court does not deem fit to release the accused on personal bond. However, keeping into consideration the

financial situation of accused as stated by his wife, the bail bond amount is reduced to Rs. 5,000/- instead of Rs. 10,000/-.

Bail bonds not furnished.

Application stands disposed of as above.

List the matter for S/D and consideration on charge on
09.06.2026.

(AAKANKSHA)
JMFC-03/N/w Rohini Courts
New Delhi/26.05.2026