



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE,THOOTHUKUDI

Present : Tmt.S.Subadevi, B.A.,B.L.,M.B.A.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Thoothukudi

Monday the 20th day of July 2026

Cr.M.P.No. 3729/2026

Immanuvel (70/2026),

..Petitioner / Accused No.8

S/o.Athi Veera Pandiyan Nadar,

Door No.32/286, B, Main Road,

Naduvai Kurichi,

Sawyer Puram, Eral,

Thoothukudi.

-Vs-

State: The Inspector of Police,

...Respondent / Complainant

District Crime Branch – I, PS.,

Thoothukudi.

Cr. No. 8/2026

Petition u/s.482 BNSS is filed to grant anticipatory bail to petitioners.

This Petition has come up for final hearing before this court today, in the presence of Thiru.P.Selvakumar Advocate for petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor for prosecution.

Heard both side.

ORDER

The petitioner is alleged to have committed offences on 27.12.2023 u/s. 420 and 120(b) of IPC.



The case of the prosecution is that, the petitioner and other co-accused have criminally conspired to cheat the defacto complainant and in prosecution of their conspired plan, the petitioner and other co-accused have received money from the defacto complainant and failed to execute the sale deed in favour of the defacto complainant and thereby the petitioner and other accused have cheated the defacto complainant.

The learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and not at all committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the petitioner is not involved in the occurrence and that this case has been falsely foisted against the petitioner out of previous enmity and that this petitioner is A8 and he is a senior citizen aged about 70 years and suffering from kidney ailment, for which, he is taking treatment in hospital as inpatient and he acted only as an intermediary and got no monetary benefit and that the 2nd accused have been granted bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CRLOP (MD) No 11684/2026 dated 25.06.2026 and also produced order copy to substantiate the same and this is the 2nd bail application and the earlier bail application was dismissed by this court in Cr.M.P. No.2846/2026 dated 10.06.2026 and that the petitioner is ready and willing to furnish sufficient sureties for his release on anticipatory bail and that therefore, the petitioner may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor has raised strong objection to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail by stating that the amount has not been recovered and the investigation is still pending.



Considering the nature and gravity of the offence and the quantum of amount involved in the offence and the fact that, the amount has not been recovered so far and the investigation is still pending and though the earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed by this court, since there is no change of circumstances, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition stands dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court this the 20th day of July 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Thoothukudi.

To

- The Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Thoothukudi.
- The Public Prosecutor, Principal District Court, Thoothukudi
- The Inspector of Police, District crime Branch – I, PS., Thoothukudi.
- The Advocate for petitioners.

They are requested to download the copy of the order from the official web site of this court only for purpose of furnishing sureties before the concerned court.