



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, THOOTHUKUDI

Present : Tmt.S.Subadevi, B.A.,B.L.,M.B.A.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Thoothukudi

Wednesday the 22nd day of July 2026

Cr.M.P.No. 3714/2026

Mariya Antony Agnal (Age 33/2026), ...Petitioner / Accused

S/o.Dominic,

Residing at 359/A,

Mini Sahayapuram, 1st Street, Thoothukudi,

Thoothukudi District.

-Vs-

State : The Inspector of Police,

...Respondent / Complainant

Tiruchendur P.S.,

Thoothukudi District.

Cr. No.357/2026

Petition u/s.483 BNSS is filed to grant bail to the petitioner.

This Petition has come up for final hearing before this court today, in the presence of Thiru.G.Manikandan Advocate for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor for prosecution.

Heard both side.

ORDER

The petitioner is alleged to have committed offences on 07.05.2026 u/s.296(b), 132, 121(1), 109, 351(3) of BNS and Section 25(1A), 27(2) of Arms Act. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.06.2026.

The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has abused the de-facto complainant who is a public servant with filthy language, prevented from discharging



his duty by using criminal force, attempted to murder him, criminally intimidated with weapon and also made panic among the public.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, the petitioner is an innocent and not at all committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 12.06.2026 for the past 39 days and major part of the investigation is completed and his continued detention is no longer required for custodial interrogation. The petitioner has sustained a severe life threatening gunshot wound to his stomach due to police firing. The bullet injury to his vital abdominal organs requires intensive, specialized post operative and therapeutic medical care. The unhygienic and constrained environment of the prison hospital is entirely inadequate for treating such a deep, festering stomach wound. There is an active, ongoing threat to the petitioner's life due to infection and lack of specialized gastrointestinal / surgical care. It is further submitted that the police personnel involved in the alleged accident were discharged immediately after receiving mere first aid. The petitioner has been suffering severely from deep, unresolved internal and external trauma for a long time. The alleged occurrence never took place as projected by the prosecution. The police personnel without any lawful authority or justification, resorted to live firing at the petitioner. In order to escape from severe departmental and criminal liability for their unauthorized, excessive use of force, respondent police has concocted and put up this false case against this petitioner. Although, the prosecution previously cited 26 previous cases, several of those cases have already ended in acquittal. In all other pending matters, the petitioner is a law abiding citizen who has been regularly appearing, conducting his defenses and complying with court procedures without default. He is not a flight risk. This is the 2nd bail application and the earlier application was dismissed by this court in Cr.M.P. No.3375/2026 dated 06.07.2026. Copy of medical records of the petitioner were produced to prove his illness. The petitioner is



ready and willing to furnish sufficient sureties for his release on bail and that therefore, the petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor has raised strong objection to grant bail to the petitioner stating that, this petitioner is a HS rowdy having 26 previous cases.

Though the learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner needs medical treatment and the medical records produced by the learned counsel shows that the petitioner has undergone treatment in the month of June 2026 i.e. before one month. No document has been produced to show that the petitioner is taking treatment still now. And further, in the petition given by the petitioner's wife before the Human Rights Commission, Chennai it has been clearly stated that, this petitioner is having one previous case under Section 302 IPC. The learned Public Prosecutor has raised strong objection to allow this petition. Hence, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is hereby dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court this the 22nd day of July 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Thoothukudi.

To

- The Judicial Magistrate, Tiruchendur
- The Public Prosecutor, Principal District Court, Thoothukudi.
- The Inspector of Police, Tiruchendur P.S., Thoothukudi.
- The Advocate for the petitioner.

They are requested to download the copy of the order from the official web site of this court only for purpose of furnishing sureties before the concerned court.