



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, THOOTHUKUDI

Present : Tmt.S.Subadevi, B.A.,B.L.,M.B.A.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Thoothukudi

Wednesday the 22nd day of July 2026

Cr.M.P.No. 3642/2026

1. Arumugam (Age 37/2026), ...Petitioners / Accused
S/o. Ramaiah, No.12 to 14
No.3/724A, Bajar Street, Sivalaperi,
Thirunelveli District.

2. Muthumariselvam (Age 25/2026),
S/o.Karuthapandi,
No.901A, Perumal Kovil Street, Sivalaperi,
Thirunelveli District.

3. Valli Kutty (Age 25/2026),
S/o. Mari,
No.7/87, Kottai Street, Sivalaperi,
Thirunelveli District.

-Vs-

State : The Inspector of Police, ...Respondent / Complainant
District Crime Branch P.S.,
Thoothukudi.

Cr. No.04/2026

Petition u/s.483 BNSS is filed to grant bail to the petitioner.

This Petition has come up for final hearing before this court today, in the presence of Mrs.S.Tamilselvi, Advocate for the petitioner and the learned Public



Prosecutor for prosecution and Thiru.S.Shunmugaraja, advocate for the intervener.

Heard both side.

ORDER

Petitioners are alleged to have committed offences on 14.01.2026 u/s.316(2), 318(4), 61(2) of BNS. Petitioners were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.05.2026

The case of the prosecution is that, petitioners and other co-accused have criminally conspired to cheat the defacto complainant Bank and according to their conspired plan, the petitioner and other co-accused have pledged fake ornaments with Indian Bank, Savalaperi Branch with the help of A1 / Appraiser of the Bank and received money and thereby the petitioner and co-accused have committed the criminal breach of trust and cheated the defacto complainant Bank.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that, petitioners are innocent and not at all committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and that petitioners are in judicial custody from 28.05.2026 for the past 56 days and this is the 2nd bail application and the earlier bail application was dismissed by this court in Cr.M.P. No.3416/2026 dated 04.07.2026 and no specific over tact against these petitioners and that petitioners are ready and willing to furnish sufficient sureties for their release on bail and that therefore, petitioners may be enlarged on bail.

Even though the learned counsel for de-facto complainant has filed an intervene petition, he has not appeared before this court and hence the intervening petition is dismissed for non prosecution.

The learned Public Prosecutor has raised strong objection to release the petitioner on bail by stating that, the amount has not been recovered and all are colluded and got money from the bank. The investigation is not yet completed.

On perusal of the CD file, the 1st petitioner had pledged the gold and received Rs.7,50,000/-, 2nd petitioner has pledged the gold and received Rs.4,95,000/- and the 3rd petitioner has pledged the gold and received Rs.5,22,000/-. The amount has not



been recovered and the investigation is not completed. Petitioners along with the co-accused have pledged fake gold and received amount and the bank has faced loss to the tune of one crore. Hence, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

In the result, this petition is hereby dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court this the 22nd day of July 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Thoothukudi.

To

- The Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Thoothukudi.
- The Public Prosecutor, Principal District Court, Thoothukudi.
- The Inspector of Police, District Crime Branch P.S., Thoothukudi.
- The Advocate for the petitioner.

They are requested to download the copy of the order from the official web site of this court only for purpose of furnishing sureties before the concerned court.