

PARUTHI ENGINEERS PVT. LTD. Vs. SHRI BHAGWAN
TYAGI AND ORS.

10/02/2026

Present : Sh. Bhagat Singh, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Himanshu Sharma, Ld. Counsel for defendants
no. 1 to 5.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff presses his application
under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff argues that the defendants
be restrained from publishing defamatory remarks against plaintiff
or manufacturing units on any social media platform including
twitter during pendency of the suit.

Per contra, Ld. Counsel for defendants states that
they want to file reply to application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2
and meanwhile mediation is possible. Further, it is states that
defendants will not make any defamatory statement till the next
date of hearing.

Heard. File pursued.

To protect the reputation of the plaintiff, it is
essential to restrain the defendants from publishing defamatory
remarks or posts against plaintiff or manufacturing units on any
social media platform including twitter till the next date of
hearing.

At this stage, both the parties are willing to explore
the possibility of settlement through the platform of mediation.

Accordingly, matter is referred to the Mediation Cell, Rohini Courts for exploring the chances of settlement. Parties are directed to appear before the Mediation Cell on **25/02/2026 at 2:00 p.m.** alongwith their respective counsels. The date and time is given as per the convenience of parties.

In case of failure of mediation, to come up for disposal of application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC on **24/04/2026.**

Defendants are directed to file their Written Statement within statutory time limit with copy in advance to Counsel opposite. Reply to application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC be also filed with copy to Counsel opposite.

Referral form be prepared separately.

Ahlmad is directed to send the requisite documents well in time.

(VIKRAM BALI)
DISTRICT JUDGE-02/NORTH/ROHINI
DELHI/10/02/2026