

IN THE COURT OF DINESH KUMAR MITTAL, SESSIONS JUDGE,
KURUKSHETRA (UID No.HR0056).

HRKU010051742026



Bail Application No.1252 of 2026.

CNR No.HRKU010051742026.

Date of Institution: 17.07.2026.

Date of Order : 03.08.2026.

Kashmir Singh son of Kirpal Singh, resident of Village Tangauri, Police
Station Ismailabad, District Kurukshetra.

.....Applicant-accused.

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent.

II

HRKU010054022026



Bail Application No.1286 of 2026.

CNR No.HRKU01010054022026.

Date of Institution: 22.07.2026.

Date of Order : 03.08.2026.

Jagjeet Singh son of Sahab Singh, resident of Village Tangauri, Police
Station Ismailabad, District Kurukshetra.

.....Applicant-accused.

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent.

III

HRKU010054032026



(Dinesh Kumar Mittal)
Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra.
03.08.2026.

CNR No.HRKU01010051742026

2

BA-1252/2026

Kashir Singh & ors. Vs. State of Haryana

Dilpreet Singh @ Lovepreet son of Kashmir Singh, resident of Village Tangauri, Police Station Ismailabad, District Kurukshetra.

.....Applicant-accused.

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent.

FIR No.176 dated 16.06.2026.

U/ss : 190, 191 (3), 115 (2), 117 (2), 118 (1), 118 (2), 351 (3)
of Bharatiya Nayaya Sanhita, 2023.

Police Station : Ismailabad.

APPLICATIONS FOR REGULAR BAIL.

Present : Shri Deepak Kumar Sarsa, counsel for applicants-accused.
Shri Chander Mohan, Public Prosecutor for respondent-State.
Shri Karan Chawla, counsel for complainant.

ORDER:

This order of mine shall dispose of above mentioned three regular bail applications, arising out of present FIR No.176/2026. In these bail applications, applicants-accused Kashmir Singh, Jagjeet Singh and Dilpreet Singh @ Lovepreet have submitted that they have not committed any offence and they have been falsely implicated in the present case.

2. Brief facts of the prosecution case are that on 15.06.2026, an information was received in PS Ismailabad regarding referring of injured Saravjeet Singh and Gurbhajan Singh in Metro Hospital from LNJP Hospital, Kurukshetra, due to injuries suffered by them in an assault. Thereafter, on 16.06.2026, SI Naresh Kumar reached at Metro Hospital, Kurukshetra, obtained MLRs of the injured, medical ruqa and moved application regarding fitness of the injured persons, upon which the doctor concerned declared injured Saravjeet Singh fit to make statement.

(Dinesh Kumar Mittal)
Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra.
03.08.2026.

Thereafter, SI Naresh Kumar recorded the statement of injured Saravjeet Singh, in which he has alleged that at about 6:00 P.M., on 15.06.2026, he and his father Gurbhajan were preparing the fields for paddy crops, near the dam. He further alleged that in the meantime, Jagjit Singh son of Sahab Singh and Lovepreet Singh son of Kashmir Singh, on two separate tractors, with which rotavators were attached came there and started cultivating their land with intention to grab the same. Complainant further alleged that when he and his father tried to stop them to do said illegal act, then they tried to run over tractors upon him and his father Gurbhajan Singh. He further alleged that some days ago, there was an altercation between them due to land dispute. Complainant further alleged that thereafter, both the accused attacked upon them with gandasi and caused injuries on his head and on the arm of his father. He further alleged that thereafter, applicant-accused Kashmir Singh along with Gurjeet, Balkar and Goldy, armed with gandasi and danda came there and attacked upon him and his father. They cried for help, upon which his mother Shamsheer Kaur and wife Manjeet Kaur came there and saved them from the clutches of the accused persons, who then ran away from the spot along with tractors and the weapons. He further alleged that thereafter, his mother and wife took him and his father to LNJP Hospital, Kurukshetra in a private vehicle, from where they were referred to PGI, Chandigarh, but due to seriousness of the injuries, they were got admitted in Metro

Hospital, Kurukshetra.

3. On the basis of above statement and the injuries mentioned in MLRs, present FIR under Sections 190, 191 (3), 115 (2), 118 (1), 351 (3) of BNS was registered. During investigation, X-ray report of injured Gurbhajan was obtained, as per which there were fractures on his person, so, Sections 117 (2) & 118 (2) of BNS were added in the case. Thereafter, on 24.06.2026, applicants-accused Kashmir Singh and Jagjeet Singh were arrested, who suffered their respective disclosure statements, in pursuance of which applicant-accused Jagjeet Singh got recovered a gandasi, tractor bearing registration No.HR07T-5975 along with rotavator and applicant-accused Kashmir Singh got recovered a lathi. On 28.06.2026, applicant-accused Dilpreet Singh was arrested, who suffered his disclosure statement and got recovered a danda, used in the commission of offence.

4. Learned counsel for applicants-accused have argued that applicants-accused have not committed any crime and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He has further argued that the contents of FIR are highly unnatural & improbable and there is no independent corroboration to the version of complainant. He has further argued that present case got registered by complainant due to previous enmity with the accused party. He has further argued that it is alleged by complainant that all the applicants-accused attacked upon him and his father with gandasis, however, during investigation, only recovery of gandasi was

shown to be effected from applicant-accused Jagjeet Singh and from other applicants-accused Kashmir Singh and Dilpreet Singh @ Lovepreet, the recovery of danda was shown to be effected. He has further argued that all the offences are triable by Judicial Magistrate 1st Class. He has further argued that the injured-complainant and his father have already been discharged from the hospital. He has further argued that in the present case, the offence under Section 118 (2) of BNS is not made out. He has further argued that applicants-accused are in custody since 24.06.2026 & 28.06.2026 respectively and the investigation in the present case qua them is already complete. He has further argued that the trial will take long time and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the applicants-accused further in custody. He has further argued that applicants-accused are not involved in any other criminal case. In the end, learned counsel for applicant-accused has prayed that applicant-accused may be released on bail.

5. On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor for State has opposed the bail applications on the ground that the allegations against the applicants-accused are serious in nature. Learned counsel for complainant has also argued that the applicants-accused, being the members of unlawful assembly, armed with deadly weapons i.e. gandasi and danda, attacked upon complainant and his father and caused grievous injuries to them. He has further argued that all the applicants-accused have actively

participated in the present offence and they have been specifically named in the FIR. He has further argued that during investigation, gandasi from applicant-accused Jagjeet Singh and the dandas from applicants-accused Kashmir Singh and Dilpreet Singh @ Lovepreet were recovered. He has further argued that the family members of the accused persons are still threatening the complainant party. He has further argued that in the occurrence, complainant received gandasi injuries on his head, mouth and hand. He has further argued that the one of the hand of the complainant has almost cut due to gandasi blow, given by the accused persons. He has further argued that the present case falls under Section 109 of BNS, as the accused persons intentionally caused repeated gandasi blow on the person of complainant with intention to kill him. He has further argued that further investigation in the case is still pending and if the applicants-accused are released on bail, they may temper the investigation, may cause harm to complainant party and may flee from trial. Learned Public Prosecutor for State and learned counsel for complainant have thus, prayed that in view the serious nature of offence, bail applications filed by the applicants-accused be dismissed.

6. I have perused the contents of the FIR. As per allegations of complainant, the applicants-accused and others attacked upon him and his father with gandasi and danda. I have also perused the MLRs of injured-complainant and his father. There are total ten injuries on the person of

injured-complainant, including injuries over crown region of scalp; over right hand middle finger; over right forearm; over right leg; over left shoulder region; over right arm; over thumb, index finger, middle finger of left hand; over left side of face active bleeding and over ring finger of left hand. Also, in the MLR of injured Gurbhajan Singh total four injuries are mentioned i.e. over crown region of scalp; over left side of mid back region; over left side of abdomen and over dorso medial aspect of left hand under lying muscles. Also, as per the opinion of doctor, the injury No.4 on the person of father of complainant and the injury No.9 on the person of injured-complainant were declared as 'grievous in nature'. All the injuries are specifically attributed to the applicants-accused. I have perused the photographs and video of injuries, received by the complainant and found that complainant has received sharp cut gandasi injuries on his head, face and hand. In one of the photographs, produced by the I.O., one hand of complainant has almost cut from the wrist. The recovery of gandasi, lathi and danda were effected from the applicants-accused respectively. Further, in the present case the investigation is still pending and the statements of prosecution witnesses especially complainant/injured and eye witness are yet to be recorded and as per police reply, if the applicants-accused are released on bail, they may pressurize the prosecution witnesses and may flee from trial. So, keeping in view of totality of facts and circumstances of the present case, nature of

CNR No.HRKU01010051742026

Kashmir Singh

8

Vs.

BA-1252/2026

State of Haryana

injuries and seriousness of allegations against the applicants-accused and without commenting anything on the merits of case, applicants-accused Kashmir Singh, Jagjeet Singh and Dilpreet Singh @ Lovepreet are not entitled for bail. Accordingly, the bail applications are hereby dismissed. Copy of this order be placed with the connected bail applications. Bail application files be consigned to record room after due compliance.

Pronounced in open Court:
Dated: 03.08.2026.

(Dinesh Kumar Mittal),
Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra,
(UID No.HR0056).

Jasbinder singh
Stenographer Gr.II

(Dinesh Kumar Mittal)
Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra.
03.08.2026.