

1 CC NI ACT 8136/2022

CHOLAMANDALAM INVESTMENT AND FINANCE CO LTD Vs. HARDEEP SINGH

20.07.2026

Present: Sh. Pradeep Kumar, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the complainant.
Accused in person.
Sh. Sandeep Singh, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the accused.
Sh. Kuldeep, surety in person.

This is a transferred matter from Digital Court-01. Physical file has been received. Complainant has NOT filed all the previous order sheets.

COMPLAINANT IS DIRECTED TO FILE COPY OF ALL PREVIOUS ORDER SHEETS BY NDOH, **date wise.**

Both the parties submit that notice u/s 251 Cr.P.C. has not been framed upon the accused.

Since the accused has appeared today, let notice be framed today itself

It is submitted by accused side that they have not received the copy of complaint and annexures. Complainant is directed to supply the same to accused side before the next date of hearing on the number **7503890008.**

Heard on notice. On a perusal of the complaint and supporting documents, this court is of the opinion that there are sufficient grounds to frame notice against the accused and proceed to trial. The factum of issuance & dishonour of cheque in question, the service of legal demand notice, failure of payment despite demand, liability and cumulative effect thereof culminating into an offence U/s 138 NI Act have been explained to the accused in vernacular. The attention of the accused has been drawn to the evidence appearing against him and to each document relied upon by the complainant.

The plea of defence of accused recorded separately.

At this stage, oral prayer for cross examination of complainant witness is made by the accused. Keeping in mind the legislative intent as incorporated in Section 145(2) NI Act and the defence disclosed by the accused in his plea of defence, the court is of the view that accused is having sufficient ground to cross

examine the complainant. Accordingly, **oral prayer for cross examination** of complainant witness of the accused is hereby **allowed**.

At this stage, Admission/denial of documents by the accused under Section 330 BNSS is recorded separately. On the basis of the same, the **four witnesses** cited at serial no. 2 to 5 in list of witnesses by complainant for same stands **dropped** from said list.

This court further does not deem it appropriate/ desirable to try the case summarily since sentence for imprisonment exceeding one year may have to be passed, if the trial results in conviction, therefore, this case **would be tried as summons trial case**.

Offence being bailable, accused is admitted to bail subject to **furnishing of bail bond/ surety bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one surety of like amount**. Same is furnished considered and accepted. **Original FDR has been taken on record. Let intimation be sent to the concerned bank.**

At this stage, after little interaction with both sides there appears to be ample chances of settlement in this matter.

With consent of both sides, in view of the ongoing “**mediation for the nation campaign 2.0 by NALSA**” be put up before Mediation Cell on 13.08.2026 at 2:00 pm and returnable to this court on **12.04.2027**.

Ahlmad is directed to send file to Mediation Cell well in time.

Accused side is at liberty to reach out the complainant side for possibility of settlement on the no. **8130655864**.

Put up for **filing of the previous order sheets/ settlement if any/CE 12.04.2027**.

(URVI GUPTA)
J.M.F.C.-01(N.I.Act)/Central,
THC/Delhi/20.07.2026