

Rakesh Kumar Vs. PSPCL etc. (CS-122-2025)

Present: Sh. R.K.Menon Adv. for plaintiff.
Sh. K.C.Mahajan Adv. for defendants No.1 to 5.

Today case was fixed for filing reply to application under Order 11 Rule 14 CPC for production of documents, by defendants. However, instead of filing reply to said application, Ld.Counsel for defendants has supplied the requisite documents to plaintiff today in the court. Statement of plaintiff regarding receiving of documents from defendants, as desired by him, has been recorded. Accordingly, application in hand is disposed off.

Replication to written statement of defendant No.4, filed by plaintiff. Copy supplied.

From the pleadings of parties, following issues are framed:

- 1) Whether the plaintiff is entitled for relief of declaration as prayed for? OPP
- 2) Whether plaintiff is entitled for relief of mandatory injunction as prayed for? OPP
- 3) Whether the suit of plaintiff is not maintainable? OPD
- 4) Whether the plaintiff is estopped by his own act, condition and acquiescence? OPD
- 5) Whether the suit is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties? OPD
- 6) Relief.

No other issue arises, pressed and claimed. Now, to come up on 13.8.2026 for evidence of plaintiff on filing of PF/DM and list of witnesses within a week.

Dated: 3.7.2026.

Steno Rajni.

(Suresh Kumar Goyal),
Civil Judge (Sr.Divn.),
Hoshiarpur. UID No.PB0232.