

IN THE COURT OF THE SESSIONS JUDGE, PURBA MEDINIPUR AT TAMLUK.

Present : Jibon Kumar Sadhu (JO Code WB01247)

Crl. Misc. Case No.313 of 2026

CNR : WBEM0100-1619-2026

G.R. Case No.753/2026 arising out of **Kolaghat P.S. Case No. 160/2026**, dated **13.03.2026**, u/S-109/115(2)/117(2)/126(2)/3(5)/303(2)/324(4)/329(4)/351(2)/74 of B.N.S.

Order No.02, dt. 20.04.2026 :

This is an anticipatory bail application u/S-482 of B.N.S.S. moved by the Ld. Advocate for the accused/ petitioners, namely, 1) **Sk Tahajul Hosen @ Sk Tahajul Hossain**, 2) **Ohida Bibi**, 3) **Uma Bibi @ Kanij Batul**, 4) **Usha Khatun @ Tamanna Parveen**, 5) **Asha Khatun @ Kanij Jaria** and 6) **Sk Nawsad Ali @ Sk Naosad Ali**, praying for anticipatory bail.

It is stated in the petition that no other bail petition is filed or pending or rejected by the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

The Ld. Advocate for the accused-petitioners and the Ld. P.P both are found present.

Ld. Advocate for the accused-petitioners submits that there is land dispute and case and counter case in between the parties. These accused-petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. As such Ld. Advocate for the accused-petitioners prayed for anticipatory bail of the accused-petitioners in any condition. In support of his contention he has filed some documents under the cover of firisiti.

Ld. P.P in-charge refers to the C.D and raises strong objection against accused-petitioners no. 1 and 2.

Heard both sides. Considered.

Perused the written complaint, statement recorded u/S-180 of B.N.S.S., medical report and other relevant materials available in the C.D. and T.C.R. It appears from the case record that the allegation against accused-petitioners no. 1 and 2 are serious in nature (Scalp haemorrhage seen at bilateral high fronto-parietal region). I have also perused the counter case being no. 40 of 2026 dated 17.01.2026 and other medical papers submitted on behalf of the accused-petitioners. Considering the nature and gravity of offence, this court is not inclined to allow the prayer for anticipatory bail of accused-petitioner no. 1 and 2 namely **Sk Tahajul Hosen @ Sk Tahajul Hossain and Ohida Bibi**.

However, considering the materials on record against the other accused-petitioners, this court is inclined to allow their prayer for anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused-petitioner no. 1 and 2 namely **Sk Tahajul Hosen @ Sk Tahajul Hossain and Ohida Bibi** stands **rejected** and the prayer for anticipatory bail of the **accused-petitioners no. 3 to 6** stands **allowed**.

Hence, in the event of arrest the accused-petitioners no. 3 to 6, namely, **Uma Bibi @ Kanij Batul**, **Usha Khatun @ Tamanna Parveen**, **Asha Khatun @ Kanij Jaria** and **Sk Nawsad Ali @ Sk Naosad Ali** be released on bail by executing bond of **Rs. 5,000/-** each with two registered sureties of **Rs.2,500/-** each, subject to the satisfaction of arresting officer. The accused-petitioners to comply the provisions u/S-482(2) of B.N.S.S.

Crl. Misc. Case stands disposed of.

C.D. & T.C.R be returned.

Let a copy of this Order, along with the T.C.R., be sent to the Ld. C.J.M., Purba Medinipur for information and necessary action.

Dictated & corrected by me,

Sd/-

Sessions Judge,
Purba Medinipur.

Sd/-

Sessions Judge,
Purba Medinipur.