



State of Tamilnadu  
In the Court of Sessions, Tenkasi Division  
Before the Principal Sessions Judge, Tenkasi.  
PRESENT: THIRU. B.RAJAVEL, B.SC.,B.L.,  
Principal Sessions Judge, Tenkasi  
Saturday the 07<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026  
**Cr.M.P.No. 753/2026**  
**CNR.No.TNTS010012352026**

\*\*\*\*\*

Subash, S/o Vairamuthu

.. Petitioner/Accused

*Versus*

State: The Inspector of Police,  
Kuruvikulam P.S.  
Cr.No.23/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 05.03.2026 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail to the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before this court in the presence of Thiru.R.Raju, Advocate for the Petitioner and Thiru.M.P.K.Maruthappan, Public Prosecutor for the Respondent/complainant and upon hearing both sides, this Court passed the following :

### **ORDER**

The Petitioner/accused was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 17.01.2026 for the alleged offences punishable under section 118(1), 131, 351(3), 310(2) of BNS registered by the respondent police, seeks bail.

The learned Counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that this petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and this is purely put up case only and this petitioner's name not found place in the F.I.R. and he is in no way connected with the occurrence and this petitioner has no previous case and the injured person was treated as O.P and the co-accused was released on bail by the Hon'ble High Court and this petitioner is undergoing incarceration for the past 50 days and prays to grant bail to the petitioner subject to any conditions.

The learned Public Prosecutor would submit that totally 6 accused involved in this case and this petitioner being A3 in rank and the allegation against the accused persons is that they called the defacto complainant through Grinder App to a particular place and when the defacto complainant came to the occurrence place the accused persons asked Rs.3,000/- from the defacto complainant through Google Pay and when the defacto complainant refused to say password, the accused persons attacked him and threatened him with dire consequences and this petitioner is having 1 previous case not identical in nature and the injured person was treated as O.P. and the investigation is not yet completed.

Heard. Records perused. The submissions of both sides were considered. Totally 6 accused involved in this case and this petitioner being A3 in rank and the allegation against the accused persons is that they called the defacto complainant through Grinder App to a particular place and when the defacto complainant came to the occurrence place the accused persons asked Rs.3,000/- from the defacto complainant through Google Pay and when the defacto complainant refused to say password, the accused persons attacked him and threatened him with dire consequences. Considering the fact that the injured in this case was treated as O.P and this is II petition and the co-accused in this case was released on bail by the Hon'ble High Court and this petitioner is undergoing incarceration for the past 50 days and the antecedents of the petitioner and other facts and circumstances, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result this petition is allowed subject to following conditions.

- i) The petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on executing his bond for Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sankarankovil.
- ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce a copy of their Aadhaar card or Solvency Certificate or Bank pass book to ensure their identity.
- iii) **The petitioner shall report and sign before the Respondent P.S daily once at 10.00 A.M for one month.**
- iv) **The Petitioner has to furnish his Mail ID and Phone number before the trial court at the time of executing bond.**
- v) The petitioner shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer.

- vi) The petitioner shall not tamper the witness or hamper the evidence in any manner either during investigation or Trial.
- vii) The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or Trial.
- viii) That on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate / Trial Court and shall take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law.
- ix) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/S.269 BNS.

Pronounced in open court, this the 07<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026.

PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE,  
TENKASI.

Copy to.

The Judicial Magistrate, Sankarankovil.

The Inspector of Police, Kuruvikulam P.S.

The Counsel for the Petitioner

The Public Prosecutor, Tenkasi.

The Superintendent, Central Prison, Palayamkottai.