

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADHAVARAM

Present :Tmt.P.Deepa, B.A.,B.L.,L.L.M.,
District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Madhavaram

Monday, the 20th day of July 2026

Crl.M.P.No.365/2026

in

Cr. No.431/2026

1.Muniya, A/36 years,
S/o. Kanniya,
No. 37, Block No.31, Ezhil Nagar,
Perumbakkam, Chengalpattu District.

2. Kamalakannan,A/53 years,
S/o.Veeraragavan,
No.59, 4th Floor, Ezhil Nagar,
Perumbakkam, Chengalpattu District.

3.Sarathy, A/19 years,
S/o.Jayaraj,
No.92, Block No.13 Ezhil Nagar,
Perumbakkam, Chengalpattu District.

.... Petitioner/Accused

-Versus-

State by Sub Inspector of Police,
M1 Madhavaram Police Station,
Crime No.431/2026
U/s. 331(2), 305 BNS.

.... Respondent/Complainant.

This petition is coming on 20.07.2026 for final hearing in the presence of M/s. Mr. M.Rameshkumar counsel for petitioners and The Assistant Public Prosecutor for the Respondent, after hearing both sides and perusing the material records this Court deliver the following.

ORDER

1. This Petition is filed for bail Under section 480 of BNSS and the petitioners was arrested by the respondent for the offence under section 331(2), 305 BNS.

2. Notice given to respondent police and Assistant Public Prosecutor and the reply received. They strongly objected to release the accused on bail. The petitioners are in judicial custody from 27.06.2026 for alleged offence under section 331(2), 305 BNS.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners argued that the petitioners are innocent and falsely implicated in this case and the petitioners are ready to furnish sufficient sureties, Hence, they prayed to allow the bail application.

4. The police filed reply and objected to release the accused on bail, and stated that if they released on bail, they may indulge in same kinds of offences, investigation is in initial stage. Hence, prayed for dismissal of bail application.

5. Both side arguments heard, records perused. On perusal of records it is seen that the police filed a detail objection stating that the investigation. They are involved the same kind of offence pending against them. Property not yet recovered. They are habitually doing the same Nature of offences. Hence, them detention is required for the purpose of trial and to avoid further offences. If they released they will be committed the same nature of offence in future.

6. Considering the stage of investigation and the Nature of case, and property not yet recovered this court feel that if this accused is released on bail, it will lead to multiple the same kind of offence and also cause injury. Similar nature of cases pending against the accused. Therefore this court is not inclined to grant bail to the accused at this stage, accordingly this **bail application is dismissed**

.

Dictated by me to the **Steno-Typist**, and computerized by her, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this 20th day of July 2026.

**District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Madhavaram**