

**IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE AT THIRUVOTTIYUR**

**Thursday, the 4<sup>th</sup> day of June 2026**

**PRESENT: K.KARTHIK, M.L.,**

Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvottiyur

CRL.MP.No. 428/2026 in Cr.No. 141/2026

Boominathan, (M/44), S/o. Nallathambi

... Petitioner/Accused

**-Vs-**

The State Represented by  
The Inspector of Police,  
M8 Sathangadu Police Station,  
Cr.No. 141/2026

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up on this day for final hearing before this Court in the presence of M/s. S. Mohammed Idris Counsel appear, Assistant Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon perusing the documents and having stood over for consideration till this date, this court made the following,

**ORDER**

1. The Bail petition is filed under section 480 BNSS Act 2023 to enlarge the petitioner/accused on bail in Crime No. 141/2026.

2. In the petition, it is stated that the petitioner is arrested for the offence under sections 316 (2), 318 (4) BNS and detained to judicial custody on 01.06.2026. The petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. He was ready to offer substantial sureties. Hence filed this bail application to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

3. A notice was issued to the respondent and Assistant Public Prosecutor. A detailed reply statement was filed by the respondent. In the reply statement stated

that, if the accused is released on bail, he may commit similar kind of offences and he may abscond and he may tamper the witnesses. Hence strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The arguments heard on the side of counsel for the petitioner. The learned petitioner's counsel argued that the petitioner is innocent and false case has been foisted against accused. The petitioner is in judicial custody for 4 days. Hence, prayed to grant bail to the petitioner with stringent conditions.

5. The entire records perused. On perusal of the records, the petitioner was arrested and detained to judicial custody on 01.06.2026 and he in judicial custody for 4 days till date. The offence against accused is under sections 316 (2), 318 (4) BNS. Hence the Court not inclined to allow the bail petition. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

6. On considering the facts and circumstances, the nature of offence committed by the petitioner, If he been released, he would commit similar kind of offence and tamper the witnesses, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

**In the result, the petition is dismissed.**

Dictated to the Steno-typist directly, typed by him, corrected and pronounced this order by me on 4<sup>th</sup> day of June 2026.

JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
THIRUVOTTIYUR