

MHSCA30008032022



IN THE SMALL CAUSES COURT AT MUMBAI
(BANDRA BRANCH)

ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT NO. 40

IN

R. A. E. & R. SUIT NO. 589 OF 2022

1. MRS. PHILOMENA MERLYN MACHADO & Ors. ...PLAINTIFFS

V/S

DR. SATISH NAIK (Full name Not Known) ...DEFENDANT

AND

MANJUSHRI WD/O SATISH NAIK ...RESPONDENT
(Proposed Defendant No.1[a])

Ms. Eventa A. Gonsalves, Advocate for Plaintiffs.

Ms. Aakansha R. Sakpal, Advocate for Defendant.

Coram : Shrikrishna H. Inamdar,
Judge, Court Room No.33
Date : 10th October, 2025

ORDER

During pendency of suit, defendant – Mr. Satish Naik is expired. Manjushri Naik is his legal heir. Therefore, plaintiffs asked permission to amend the plaint as per schedule.

2. Learned advocate for defendant submitted to the order of the Court.

3. Heard. After death of defendant, right to sue survives against her legal heirs. Therefore, I pass following order :

ORDER

1.	Application is allowed.
2.	Plaintiffs are permitted to amend the plaint as per schedule within stipulated period.

(Dictated and pronounced in open Court.)

Date : 10.10.2025

(Shrikrishna H. Inamdar)
Judge, C. R. No.33

Dictated on : 10.10.2025
Checked & signed on : 10.10.2025