

**IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT MUNSIF, TIRUTTANI**

**Present : Tmt. R.D. Gurulakshmi, M.A., M.L., P.G.D.I & C.L.,  
District Munsif, Tiruttani.**

Dated the Friday of 19<sup>th</sup> Day of September 2025

I.A.No.5/2024 in OS.No.44/2024

(CNR.No.TNTR21-0000 63-2024)

...

M. Radha

...Petitioner/ Plaintiff

/Versus/

1. S. Ram

2. R. Vijaya

3. R. Balaji

... Respondents /Defendants

This petition came up before me for final hearing on 10.09.2025 in the presence of M/s. E. Shamu, Advocates for Petitioner/Plaintiff, M/s. S.Karthikeyan, E. Thanigairaj, Advocates for Respondents/Defendants. Upon hearing both sides and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court delivered the following:

**ORDER**

This petition is filed under Order 7 Rule 14(3) of CPC to receive the additional documents.

**1. The averments of the petition in brief are as follows:-**

The documents mentioned in the petition were misplaced, during the filing of this suit and not able to file at earlier. Recently it was found. Therefore the petitioner wants to file the same in order to strengthen of his case. Hence, this petition is filed.

**2. The counter filed on behalf of the Respondents in brief:-**

(a) The petition is not maintainable either in law or on facts of the case. The petitioner filed this application with enormous delay, since the documents are noted in the year of 2007 and 2023. On perusal of list of document 15.06.2007 Adangal of suit property which was issued by VAO. The document from the VAO is not valid document to mark. As well as list of document No.2 dated 25.09.2023 original petition issued to Chief Minister Special Grievance Cell. Here if they gave petition to Chief Minister Special Grievance cell how they file original petition copy.

(b) For sake of argument even if it is xerox copy, the xerox copy will not be

mark as Exhibits. Further this document can be created by anybody. So list of document No.2 has benefit of doubts. Hence this respondent strictly object to mark these documents. Once genuinity of the document is questionable that cannot be mark as exhibit. The delay reasons was not properly explained by the petitioner. Hence the petition has to be dismissed with costs.

**3. Point for determination:**

Whether the petitioner is entitled to the relief as sought for? or not?

4. Heard both sides. According to petitioner, the petition mentioned documents were misplaced and recently they were found. Therefore the petitioner wants to file the same in order to strengthen of his case. Hence, the petitioner prays to allow this petition.

5. The Respondents resisted the petition by contending that the list of document No.1 Adangal of suit property which was issued by VAO. The said document is not valid document to mark. The list of document No.2 original petition copy sent to Chief Minister Special Grievance Cell. Both the documents may be created by anybody. Once genuinity of the document is questionable then it cannot be marked as exhibits. The delay reasons was not properly explained by the petitioner. Hence the respondents pray to dismiss this petition.

6. On perusal of records, this case was posted to chief continuation of Pw1. In this stage this petition filed seeking permission to receive the additional documents. No reasons submitted by the petitioner for the delay in producing the documents. Further, mere receiving of the documents will not give any advantage to the petitioner as the petitioner has to prove the validity of the documents. No prejudice would be caused to the respondent as the respondent will have ample opportunity to cross examine the witness as to the documents. Hence, this court is of view that in the interest of justice, to prevent multiplicity of proceedings, to provide the petitioner an opportunity to prove her case and for proper adjudication of the case, this petition has to be allowed.

In the result, this petition is allowed. No cost.

Dictated to my Steno – Typist, typed by her directly in computer, corrected and pronounced by me in open court, this the 19<sup>rd</sup> day of September 2025.

District Munsif,  
Tiruttani.

Petitioner and Respondents side Exhibits and witness: NIL

District Munsif,  
Tiruttani.