

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT MUNSIF, TIRUTTANI**Present : Tmt. R.D. Gurulakshmi, M.A., M.L., P.G.D.I & C.L.,****District Munsif, Tiruttani.****Dated the Thursday of 13th Day of August 2026.****I.A.No.6/2026 in OS.No.45/2024****(CNR.No.TNTR21-000056-2024)**

...

K. Vinayagam

... Petitioner/ Plaintiff

/Versus/

1. Anajanya Reddy
2. A. Vani
3. A. Paranthaman
4. Anitha
5. Purushothaman
6. Sekar
7. Chitrakumar
8. Devianammal
9. The District Collector, Tiruvallur.
10. The District Revenue Officer, Tiruvallur
11. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Tiruttani
12. The Tahsildar, Tiruttani
13. The Sub Registrar, Tiruttani

...Respondents /Defendants

This petition came up before me for the final hearing on 08.08.2026 in the presence of M/s.E. Chandran, Advocates for Petitioner/ Plaintiff, M/s.N.A. Vignesh, Advocates for R1 to R5. Mr. P. Kuppan, Counsel for R6 to R8. R9 to R13 was set exparty in main suit. Upon hearing both sides and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court delivered the following:-

ORDER

This petition is filed under Order 7 Rule 14 (3) of CPC to receive additional documents.

Counter filed. Enquiry both side heard. Records perused. Petitioner filed petition to receive additional documents. Counsel for petitioner submitted as the petition mentioned documents are very vital documents to prove his case. Hence counsel for petitioner prays to allow this petition. The counsel for Respondents submitted that the documents were prior to 2024 and the petitioner wantonly filed the same with enormous delay to drag on the proceedings. Hence counsel for respondent

prays to dismiss this petition.

Petitioner filed this Petition seeking permission to receive additional documents. This case is pending in the stage of PW1 chief continuation. Further this is mere petition to receive additional documents. The Respondent has a chance to raise their objection at the time of marking of documents, and the respondent has the chance to cross examine the witness regarding the documents. The validity and proof of document to be decided at the time of marking of documents. Hence in the interest of Justice, to prevent multiplicity of proceedings, to provide the petitioner an opportunity to prove her case and for proper adjudication of the case, this petition has to be allowed.

In the result, this petition is allowed. No costs.

Dictated by me typed in the notes paper, copied and typed by the typist, on this the 13th Day of August 2026.

District Munsif,
Tiruttani.

Both side witnesses and exhibits – NIL

District Munsif,
Tiruttani.