

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT MUNSIF, TIRUTTANI
Present : **Tmt. R.D. Gurulakshmi, M.A., M.L., PGDI & CL**
District Munsif, Tiruttani.
Thursday, the 11th day of June 2026.
IA No.7/2026 in O.S.No.34/2020

Samidoss

...

...Petitioner/ 5th Defendant

/Versus/

1. S. Venkatesan
 2. V. Jagadeish
 3. V. Kavitha
 4. M. Naresh
 5. The Tahsildar, Tiruttani
 6. Revenue Divisional Officer, Tiruttani
 7. The District Collector, Tiruvallur.
- ... 1-3 Respondent / Plaintiff 1-3
- ... 4-7 Respondents/ 1-4 Defendants

This suit is coming today before me in the presence of Mr. R. Rajeshwara Babu, Mrs. S.V. Sandhya, Counsel for Petitioner/ 5th Defendant, Mr. C.A. Natarajan, Counsel for 1-3 Respondent / Plaintiff 1-3. R5 to R7 already exparte in main suit. Upon perusing the entire case records and having stood over for consideration till this day this court delivered the following:

ORDER

This Petition filed U/O 18 Rule 17 CPC seeking permission to recall of PW1 and PW2 for cross examination.

Enquiry both side heard. Petitioner filed petition to recall PW1 and PW2 for cross examination. Further submitted that it is necessary to cross examine PW1 and PW2 is necessary. Hence the counsel for petitioner prays to allow this petition. Counsel for Respondents submitted that this petition was false and has no bonafides and no merits. Hence counsel for respondents prays to dismiss this petition.

Records perused. Petition filed by the Petitioner seeking permission to

recall PW1 and PW2 for cross examination. PW1 examined in chief on 02.08.2024. On 10.08.2024 PW1 fully cross examined. Further PW2 examined in chief on 11.09.2024. On 30.09.2024 PW2 cross examined in full. On 30.09.2024 Plaintiff side evidence closed on endorsement. In the stage of Argument Plaintiff filed a petition in IA No.2/2025 to implead D5 as necessary party and the same was allowed on 07.08.2025. Further D5 set exparte for not filing written statement. Then D5 filed petition to set aside the exparte order in IA No.5/2026 and the same was allowed on 17.03.2026. In the stage of D5 side evidence petitioner filed this petition. In the interest of Justice, to prevent multiplicity of proceedings, to provide the petitioner an opportunity to prove their case and for proper adjudication of the case, this petition has to be allowed.

In the result, this petition is allowed. No costs.

Dictated by me typed in the notes paper, copied and typed by the typist, on this the 11th day of June 2026.

District Munsif,
Tiruttani.

DRAFT/FAIR ORDER

IA No.7/2026 in O.S.No.34/2020

D.D.11.06.2026

**DMC,
TIRUTTANI.**