

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT MUNSIF, TIRUTTANI
Present : Tmt. R.D. Gurulakshmi, M.A., M.L., PGDI & CL
District Munsif, Tiruttani

Dated this Wednesday, 4th day of February 2026.

IA No.14/2026 in O.S.No.28/2020

1. Padmanaba Naidu (Died)
 2. Suresh Babu
represented by Power of Attorney Mr. C.G. Mohan
 3. Rajeswari
 4. P. Rajesh Kumar
 5. Sree Devi
 6. P. Ravi Kumar
- ...Petitioners/Plaintiffs

/ Vs/

1. M.S. Manikandan
 2. Kanniammal
 3. K. Jayanthi
 4. Narasimhan
 5. Latha
 6. Amudha
 7. The District Registrar, Kanchipuram
 8. The Sub Registrar, Tiruttani.
- ... Respondents / Defendants

This Petition is coming on 04.02.2026 for final hearing before me in the presence of Mr. P.C. Santhyan, Mr. B. Chandran, Mrs. S. Swarnalatha, Mrs. M. Lavanya, Mrs. G. M. Vijayalakshmi, Counsels for Petitioners/Plaintiffs, 1st petitioner died. Mr. R. Rangarajan, Mr. D. Gunasekar, Mr. P. Pradeep, Counsel for 1st and 2nd respondents. R3 to R6 already set exparte in main suit. Government pleader appeared for R7, R8 and endorsed as no counter. Upon perusing the entire case records and having stood over for consideration till this day this court delivered the following:

ORDER

This Petition filed U/O 7 Rule 14(3) CPC seeking permission to receive additional documents.

Petitioners side enquiry heard. Respondents side counsel appeared through VC and respondents side enquiry heard. Records perused. Petitioners

filed petition to receive additional documents. Counsel for petitioners submitted as the petition mentioned documents are very vital documents to prove his case. Hence counsel for petitioners prays to allow this petition. The counsel for 1st and 2nd Respondents submitted as the petitioners followed the delay tactics to drag on the proceedings and suspect the genuineness of the death certificate and LR certificate. Further submitted that the Document number was wrongly stated in the petition. Hence counsel for 1st and 2nd respondents prays to dismiss this petition.

Petitioners filed this Petition seeking permission to receive additional documents. The case is pending for PW1 chief continuation. Further this is mere petition to receive additional documents. Mentioning of wrong document number is a typographical error alone. The 1st and 2nd Respondents have a chance to raise their objection at the time of marking of documents, and the respondents have the chance to cross examine the witness regarding the documents. The validity and proof of documents to be decided at the time of marking of documents. Hence in the interest of Justice, to prevent multiplicity of proceedings, to provide the petitioners an opportunity to prove their case and for proper adjudication of the case, this petition has to be allowed.

Considering the fact and circumstances this petition is allowed. No cost.

Dictated by me and typed by the typist, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this the 4th day of February 2026.

District Munsif,
Tiruttani.

Both side witnesses and exhibits - NIL

District Munsif,
Tiruttani.