

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT MUNSIF, TIRUTTANI**Present : Tmt. R.D. Gurulakshmi, M.A., M.L., P.G.D.I & C.L.,
District Munsif, Tiruttani.**Dated the Monday of 18th Day of August 2025

IA.No.9/2025 in OS.No.17/2021

(CNR.No.TNTR21-000021-2021)

...

A. Dhanalakshmi

... Petitioner/Plaintiff

/Versus/

1. K. Kannappa Naidu
2. K. Rajendiran
3. K. Indiraseanan
4. K. Chengamma
5. K.R. Santhi
6. V. Latha

... Respondents/Defendants

This petition came up before me for the final hearing on 06.08.2025 in the presence of M/s. R. Rajeshwara babu, S.V. Sandhaya, Advocates for Petitioner/Plaintiff, M/s. V.Kishore Reddy, E. Vijaya Kumar, A. Akila, R. Indu Prabha, K.A. Chandra Sekaran, B. Janani, Advocate for Respondents/Defendants. Upon hearing both sides and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court delivered the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed under Section 151 of CPC to return the Reg.Sale deed dated 24.06.2019 Document No.2433/2019 Plaint Doc.No.1 standing in the name of the petitioner and permit to substitute the certified copy of the document.

1. The averments of the petition in brief are as follows:-

The title deeds relating to the suit property in document No.2433/2019 was filed along with the plaint is required to submit the same before the Revenue official for the Town survey to issue the patta. The certified copy of the document No.2433/2019 standing in the name of plaintiff was produced. The petitioner undertake to produce the document at the stage of trial. Therefore the petitioner filed this petition.

2. The counter filed on behalf of the Respondents in brief:-

The petition is not maintainable either in law or on facts of the case. The

allegations in the petition are all false. There is no bonafides and no merits for the petitioner to file the petition against this respondent. The suit was already posted in special list, at this stage this petition is not at all maintainable, since the petitioner has filed this petition to drag on the proceedings. Hence this petition has to be dismissed.

3. **Point for determination:**

Whether the petitioner is entitled to the relief as sought for? or not?

4. **Point:**

Heard learned counsel for the both the parties. According to the petitioner, the document No.2433/2019 was filed along with the plaint is required to submit the same before the Revenue official for the town survey. The certified copy of the document No.2433/2019 standing in the name of plaintiff was produced. The petitioner undertake to produce the document at the stage of trial. Therefore the petitioner prays to allow this petition. The Respondents resisted the petition by contending that the there is no bonafides and no merits in the petition. The petition is filed by the petitioner only to drag on the proceedings. Hence the respondents prays to dismiss this petition.

5. On perusal of records, the above case was posted in the special list. In this state this petition was filed. Petitioner filed this petition seeking permission to substitute the certified copy of the document and prays to return the original document to submit the same before Revenue officials for town survey. Certified copy of document also produced. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, this court is of view that in the interest of justice this petition has to be allowed.

In the result, this petition is allowed. No cost.

Dictated to my Steno – Typist, typed by her directly in computer, corrected and pronounced by me in open court, this the 18th day of August 2025.

District Munsif,
Tiruttani.

Petitioner and Respondents side Exhibits and witness: NIL

District Munsif,
Tiruttani.