

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT MUNSIF, TIRUTTANI
Present : Tmt. R.D. Gurulakshmi, M.A., M.L., P.G.D.I & C.L.,
District Munsif, Tiruttani.

Dated the Saturday of 20th Day of September 2025
I.A.No.9/2025 in OS.No.5/2019
(CNR.No.TNTR21-000005-2019)

...

S. Gowthami,
Duly represented by her power Agent P. Damodharan ...Petitioner/Plaintiff
/Versus/

1. G. Neelamegam
2. Manickam
3. Babu
4. Thulasiraman
5. Ramamoorthy
6. R. Dilli
7. The Sub-Registrar, Tiruvelangadu ...Respondents/Defendants

This petition came up before me for the final hearing on 15.09.2025 in the presence of M/s. S. Rajendran, V. Ragu, Advocates for Petitioner/Plaintiff, R1, R5, R7 called absent set exparte. Mr. V.S. Velayudham, Advocate for 2 to 4 Respondents/Defendants. Upon hearing both sides and having stood over for consideration till this day, this court delivered the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed under Order 7 Rule 14(3) of CPC to receive the filing of documents.

1. The averments of the petition in brief are as follows:-

The Plaintiff filed the above suit for declaration and for consequential relief against the defendants. At the time of filing suit, photostat plaint copy of OS.No.107/2011 was filed. Recently the petitioner obtained certified copy of the plaint in OS.No.107/2011. Therefore the petitioner filed this petition.

2. The counter filed on behalf of the 2 to 4 Respondents in brief:-

This petition is not maintainable either in law or on facts of the case. This petition filed to receive additional document i.e., the certified copy of Plaint in OS.No.107/2011 which is filed very long back. But the petitioner did not file certified

copy of the same. Now only filing the said document belatedly. The petitioner already filed petition to receive the additional documents and the same was allowed, but this document never filed even at that time. The petitioner filed this vexatious petition to delay the case and there is no bonafide reasons stated in the petition. If this petition is allowed this respondent will be put into much loss and hardship. Hence this petition has to be dismissed with cost.

3. Point for determination:

Whether the petitioner is entitled to the relief as sought for? or not?

4. Heard both sides. According to petitioner, at the time of filing suit, photostat plaint copy of OS.No.107/2011 was filed. Recently the petitioner obtained certified copy of the plaint in OS.No.107/2011. Therefore the petitioner prays to allow this petition. The 2 to 4 Respondents resisted the petition by contending that this petition filed to receive additional document i.e., the certified copy of Plaint in OS.No.107/2011 which was filed very long back. But the petitioner did not file certified copy of the same at the time of filing suit or in earlier application to receive additional document. Now only the petitioner filed the said document belatedly. The petitioner filed this vexatious petition to drag on the case. There is no bonafide reasons stated in the petition. Hence the respondents pray to dismiss this petition.

5. On perusal of record, the case was posted for Pw1 chief continuation. In this stage this petition filed seeking permission to receive the additional document. No reasons submitted by the petitioner for the delay in producing the documents. Further mere receiving of the documents will not give any advantage to the petitioner as the petitioner has to prove the validity of the documents. No prejudice would be caused to the respondents as the respondents will have ample opportunity to cross examine the witness as to the documents. Further the respondents may raise their objection at the time of marking of documents regarding maintainability. Hence, this court is of view that in the interest of justice, to prevent multiplicity of proceedings, to provide the petitioner an opportunity to prove her case, this petition has to be allowed.

In the result, this petition is allowed. No cost.

Dictated to my Steno – Typist, typed by her directly in computer, corrected and pronounced by me in open court, this the 20th day of September 2025.

District Munsif,
Tiruttani.

Petitioner and Respondents side Exhibits and witness: NIL

District Munsif,
Tiruttani.