

III ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT,
THIRUVALLUR AT POONAMALLEE.
O.S.170/2018

Deposition of witness for the Plaintiff/PW1
solemnly by Tmt.R.Santhi, Translator
in accordance with the provision of Act I for 1873 on 04-11-2025

Name : Suryanarayanan Village :
Father's / Husband's : Pattabiraman Calling :
Name :
Age : Taluk :

4 ம் பிரதிவாதி தரப்பில் குறுக்கு விசாரணை

(சாட்சி இன்று மீண்டும் அழைக்கப்பட்டு சத்திய பிரமானம்
செய்விக்கப்பட்டு 4 ம் பிரதிவாதி தரப்பில் குறுக்கு விசாரணை தொடர்ச்சி
செய்ய அனுமதிக்கப்படுகின்றார்.)

The 3rd column in the Ex.A1 table detailing the hut, house property as chatramanyam. The next column on the same table shows the name of the owner and one name Venkata Narasaiya shown in the said column is not true. The mentioning of the Ex.A1 in my plaint is true or false? the witness replied that the text of the plaint not mentioning the Ex.A1. As per Ex.A1 the government of India has acquired the land for the purpose of 2nd world war and after the war returned the property to the respective owners of the land is true or false? The witness replied that when the Ex.A1 not mentioned in my plaint. I could not able to answer this question. The land classified as chatramanyam cannot be owned by a individual and it is belonged to the government is not true. A house/land classified as chatramanyam not covered under Section 2(9) of Tamil Nadu minor inam abolition and conversion into ryotwari Act 1963 is not true and I denied this version. Whether any title deed is available in my name or my predecessor name with regard to the suit inam property? I produced Ex.A2 to show my predecessors title in the suit property. I did not produce the extract of the Inam fair register maintained by the government since I already produced it before the

settlement Tashildar. Since my name or my predecessors name not mentioned in the inam fair register therefor I am not produced this document in this case is not correct.

(At request of learned counsel for the D4 adjourned for PW1 cross continuation)