

1
IN THE COURT OF II ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
THIRUVALLUR AT POONAMALLEE

**Present: Tmt.G.Bhuvaneswari., B.L.,
II Additional District and Sessions Judge,
Thiruvallur at Poonamallee**

On this Thursday, the 2nd day of July - 2026

O.S.No. 302 of 2019

1. Narasimhan
2. Kuppammal
3. Gunapushanam

.... Plaintiffs

(VS)

1. Balaraman
2. The Central Government
Employees Welfare Housing
Organisation, represented by
its Project Manager.
3. R. Kuppan
4. A.R.R. Harimurugan
5. Sakundhala
6. D. Thamilarasi
7. A.. Rukmani
8. R. Gopalakrishnan (deceased)
9. Mr. Raj
10. Ms. Kayalvizhi
11. Mr. Yuvaraj @ Rajendran

(Pending suit the 8th defendant died. The defendants 9 to 11 brought on record as the legal heirs of the deceased 8th defendant as per order in I. A. No. 2/2023 dated 13-12-2023)



This suit coming on this day before me in the presence of Thiru.S.Sridhanaran, Thiru.G.Umashankar, Thiru.M.Pradeep Kumar and J.Issac, the learned counsel for the Plaintiffs and Thiru.R.Mohan and Tmt.P.Hemamalini, learned counsel for 1st Defendant and M/s.S.Thiruvengadam, B.Gandhi, learned counsel for 2nd Defendant Thiru.S.Balamurugan, learned counsel for D3 and 4 and D3 to 11 called absent and set exparte and perusal of records and having stood over for consideration this court delivered the following:-

Judgment

This suit is filed by the plaintiff to Declaration the title of the plaintiffs in respect to the B schedule property and consequential relief of recovery of possession from the 2nd defendant; Declare that the sale deed dated 05.08.2005 bearing Document No. 4491 of 2005 executed by the defendants 3 & 4 in favour of the 2nd defendant is null and void and not binding on the plaintiffs or the suit properties; Grant prohibitory injunction restraining the defendants from in any manner interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the properties morefully described in the schedule to the plaint after handing over possession of the same; directing the defendants to pay cost of the suit.

2. The Defendants appeared through their counsel and filed his written statement before this court.

3. Based on the pleading of the plaint and Written statement the following issues were framed.



Issues:-

1. Whether the suit is barred by Constructive Res-Judicate ?
2. Whether the suit is barred by limitation?
3. Whether the Plaintiffs are entitled to the Declaration in respect to the 'B' schedule property as prayed for?
4. Whether the Plaintiffs are entitled to the Declaration in respect of the sale deed dated 05.08.2005, bearing Doc.No.4491/2005 as prayed for?
5. Whether the Plaintiffs are entitled to the Prohibitory Injunction as prayed for?
6. To what other relief ?

4. This case was posted for Trial on 02.04.2026.

5. The suit was taken up for hearing today 'Plaintiff counsel not present. Plaintiff not present. Defendant counsel present. Sufficient time given for trial. Hence, suit is dismissed for default with cost'.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this day, the 2nd day of July- 2026


**II Additional District and Sessions Judge,
 Thiruvallur at Poonamallee.**

Witnesses & Exhibits on Both sides :-

Nil


II ADJ/PNE