

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR**

**Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

**Thursday, the 12<sup>th</sup> day of March , 2026**

**Crl.M.P.No: 4879/2025**

Bhaskar, S/o Raju

...Petitioner/Accused

**//Versus//**

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Tiruttani Police station  
(Crime No: 5512025 )

.....Respondent/Complainant

**Petition dated: 03.11.2025 u/s 482 of BNSS praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner**

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M/s M. Thamilselvan, D. Ranesh, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and M/s L. Sasirajan, L. Sathyakumar, C. Rajasekharan, upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

**ORDER**

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 482 of BNSS seeking to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner who apprehends arrest in in Crime No. 551/2025 on the file of Tiruttani police station registered for the offence u/s 316(4) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only since there is miscalculation of the receipt of the collection amount, for which the petitioner has been falsely implicated, the petitioner has already submitted all the particulars with regard to the relevant period which does not tally only due to the technical difficulties which has already been explained, the petitioner was ready to settle the issue, but the defacto complainant is adamantly not accepted the proposal to settle the amount in installments, he has been falsely implicated in this case, petitioner has cooperated for the enquiry on issuance of notice u/s 35(3) of BNSS, thus, custodial interrogation is not necessary, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the petitioner is the Collection Officer at Sriram Finance Limited, Tiruttani branch the petitioner has joined the work for about 1 and half years, he has collected the amount from the customers for about Rs.1,59,500/-, but did not pay the amount to the Finance company to the credit of the debtors, which was found during the audit, thus breached the trust, even though he was asked to pay, he is refusing and evading to pay the same, thus based on the complaint, FIR was registered, 41A Notice issued, but he did not appear investigation is pending.

The learned counsel for the intervener submitted that the petitioner intentionally cheated the Finance company Rs.1,59,500/- even though he has admitted the collection amount, Though he has promised to return the amount, he has not done so till date and deliberately cheated the company as well as the debtors and not ready to settle even during the mediation.

The petitioner states in this petition /affidavit that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no

impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

-2-

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is still pending and amount is not recovered and not settled even during the mediation.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence
- (ii) investigation is still pending
- (iii) serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner u/s 316(4) of BNS
- (iv) huge value of the amount allegedly involved which is not yet recovered,
- (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

**In the result, this petition is dismissed.**

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Tiruvallur**

**Copy to**

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s M. Thamilselvan  
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State  
The Judicial Magistrate Tiruttani  
The Inspector of police, Tiruttani police Station  
The Intervener's Advocate M/s L. Sasirajan