

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR
PRESENT: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D.(Law)
Principal District Judge, Tiruvallur

Thursday, the 26th day of March, 2026

I.D.O.P. No. 349/2025
(CNR No.TNTR010076432025)

M.Josephine Sagaya Rani

Annadurai Joseph Sandanam

...Petitioners 1 & 2

This Petition is coming for final hearing on 12.03.2026 in the presence of M/s.D.Palani, R.Raji, A.Murali learned counsel for petitioners and upon hearing both petitioners, perusing the available records and having stood over for consideration till this day, this Court delivers the following: -

ORDER

This petition for divorce is filed by the Petitioners under section 10 (A) of Indian Divorce Act, praying to dissolve the marriage between the petitioners solemnized on 27.08.1992 at St. Theresa's Church, Manamadurai – 623 606 as per Christian rites and customs by way of mutual consent.

2. The brief averments in the petition are as follows :

The marriage between the petitioners was solemnized on 27.08.1992 at St. Theresa's Church, Manamadurai – 623 606 as per Christian rites and customs and the same was registered at the Church and marriage certificate issued by R.C.Diocese of Sivagangai. Due to their wedlock one female child was born namely A.Asha and she got married and she is having a daughter. Due to work the 2nd

petitioner went abroad, due to misunderstanding and difference of opinion between both petitioners on various issues, both were having difficulties in adjusting with each other, they are living separately since 2013. All the efforts made by the family elders to reunite the petitioners, which are in vain. The 2nd petitioner had agreed to pay Rs.33,00,000/- as permanent alimony to the 1st petitioner and on payment of said amount, the 1st petitioner vacate and hand over the key of the house situated in Porur, Chennai to the 2nd petitioner. Both have exchanged their valuable belongings and articles. There are no rival claims against each other. The marriage has been irretrievably broken down and there is no possibilities of happy re-union. There is no collusion, without any force or coercion or undue influence to filing this petition. Hence, this petition.

3. The petitioners in order to substantiate their case have examined themselves as PW1 and PW2, and Ex.P1 to Ex.P5 marked.

4. **The point for consideration is**

1. *Whether the Petitioners are entitled to seek a decree of divorce on mutual consent thereby to dissolve the marriage held between the Petitioners on 27.08.1992?*
2. *Whether this Petition is to be allowed?*

5. **POINTS :**

Heard and records perused.

On perusal of the records and arguments put forth by the counsel, it is seen that these Petitioners are husband and wife having their marriage solemnized between the petitioners on 27.08.1992 at St. Theresa's Church, Manamadurai – 623 606. Due to misunderstandings and difference of opinion between both petitioners on various issues, they are living separately since 2013 and there is no possibilities of reunion. All the efforts made by the family elders to reunite the petitioners, which are in vain. Both have exchanged their valuable belongings and articles. The matrimonial bond between the petitioners has been irretrievably broken and there is no chance for reunion. So, the petitioners filed this petition to dissolve their marriage by way of mutual consent.

6. In support of their case, the Petitioners were examined as PW.1 and PW.2 and marked Ex.P1 to Ex.P5. PW1, the wife and PW2, the husband have stated in their proof affidavits that marriage held between the petitioners on 27.08.1992. Due to misunderstandings and difference of opinion between the petitioners, they decided to live separately. Their matrimonial bond has been irretrievably broken. Since there is no possibilities for happy reunion, the petitioners have come forward with this petition for divorce by mutual consent.

7. On considering the entire records, marriage has been proved by admission of both petitioners and also Marriage Registration Certificate, Marriage Photo with CD and Marriage Invitation, which are marked as Ex.P1, Ex.P2 & Ex.P4 respectively. Copies of Aadhar cards of the petitioners are marked as Ex.P3 & Ex.P5 respectively.

8. It is also evident from the evidences of PW1 and PW2 that the marital relationship between the petitioners is strained due to misunderstanding and difference of opinion between the petitioners, the petitioners were living separately. The petitioners filed this petition by mutual consent on 08.09.2025. Moreover, the mandatory waiting period of 6 months is already over. Still there is no change in circumstances.

9. So, it is proved by the Petitioners that the marriage between them is irretrievably broken down and there is no possibility of re-union. In the above circumstances, this court is inclined to dissolve the marriage solemnized between the Petitioners on 27.08.1992 as per the Christian rites and customs by way of mutual consent.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the marriage solemnized between the petitioners on 27.08.1992 at St. Theresa's Church, Manamadurai – 623 606 as per Christian rites and customs is dissolved by way of mutual consent under section 10 (A) of Indian Divorce Act.

Dictated to Steno-typist, typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 26th day of March 2026.

**PRINCIPAL DISTRICT JUDGE,
TIRUVALLUR**

Petitioners' side witness:

PW 1 –M.Josephine Sagaya Rani (1st petitioner)

PW 2 –Annadurai Joseph Sandanam (2nd Petitioner)

Petitioners side Exhibits:

Ex.P1	27.08.1992	Marriage Registration Certificate
Ex.P2	–	Marriage Photo with CD
Ex.P3	–	Copy of Aadhar Card of the 1 st petitioner (compared with original)
Ex.P4	27.08.1992	Marriage Invitation
Ex.P5	–	Copy of Aadhar Card of the 2 nd petitioner (compared with original)

**PRINCIPAL DISTRICT JUDGE,
TIRUVALLUR**