

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: **Dr.J.JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D.(Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Monday, the 20th day of July, 2026

CrI.M.P.No:2883/2026

(CNR.No.TNTR010061162026)

Purushothamman S/o Ramadass,

.....Petitioners/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,

Sathangadu Police Station,

(Crime No:236/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated:15.07.2026 u/s.483 of B.N.S.S, praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M/s.M.Mahendran, D.Nitheshkumar, M.Charumathi, K.Micheal Johnson, D.Ragul and the respondent/ State represented by Thiru.N.R.Sreedharan Babu, Public Prosecutor, and hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 07.07.2026 in Cr.No.236/2026 on the file of Sathangadu Police Station for the alleged offences u/s.126(2), 296(b), 115(2),125, 324(4), 311, 351(3) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner is innocent, he has not committed any offence as alleged by the respondent police, on 07.07.2026 at 05.50 A.M, when the petitioner wrongfully restrained the deface-complainant and demanded money and foricibly robbed a sum of Rs.460/- he has been falsely implicated for statistical purpose, the petitioner is in custody for 14 days, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and ready to abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting them bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 07.07.2026 at about 05.30 Hrs, when the deface-complainant was return from tea shop at ramakrishna tea shop, at the time of the petitioner waylaid the defacto complainant, demanded money and robbed the amount of Rs.460/- at knife point, assaulted with hands and threatened him with dire consequences and escaped, based on complaint, FIR was registered U/s 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 125, 324(4), 311, 351(3) of BNS and investigation is still pending. There is two previous case as against the petitioner.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also

not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor the petitioner is having two previous cases and investigation is still pending ,

Therefore, on considering,

1. grave nature of offence,
2. investigation is still pending,
3. that the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor that since the petitioner is having two previous cases, if he is released and absconds, investigation in all cases as against him would also be affected,
4. serious accusation of the offence u/s. 311 of BNS as against the petitioner and also the other facts and circumstances,

this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 20th day of July 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to:-

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s.M.Mahendran,
Thiru.N.R.Sreedharan Babu, Public Prosecutor for the State,
The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvottrityur,
The Inspector of Police, Sathangadu Police Station.
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai.