

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Tmt. P. REVATHY, M.A., M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur (i/c)
Thursday, the 23rd day of July, 2026
CrI.M.P.No:2876/2026
(CNR No.TNTR010061132026)

Rahman, S/o Noorullah

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
JJ Nagar Police station
(Crime No: 424/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 15.07.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s R. Loganathan, S. Poovarasam, A. Inbatamizhan, M. Manikandan, V. Dineshkumar, D. Vinith, K. Ramesh, S. Shieyam, , and the State/ respondent police represented by Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu, the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 04.07.2026 in Crime No. 424/2026 on the file of JJ Nagar police station registered for the offence u/s 296(b), 75, of BNS r/w 4 of TNPWH Act of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only due to previous enmity, she has lodged a false complaint, there is no injury caused to the victim, only since there was wordy quarrel regarding the sanitation work, she has lodged a false complaint, the petitioner has no intention to molest the defacto complainant and only on the wrong identity and on suspicion, the police arrested the petitioner only since he belongs to the same area, petitioner has no bad antecedents, he is in custody for the 20 days he is ready to cooperate for investigation, he will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the defacto complainant is a sanitation worker in Chennai Corporation on contract and working in Mugappair area, on 04.07.2026 at about 10 AM, when the defacto complainant was engaged in work at 7H Bus depot, the petitioner in drunken state had teased the defacto complainant and made wrong gestures and demanded to fulfill his lust, when the defacto complainant warned him, he unzipped his pants and showed his private parts with the intention to outrage her modesty, based on the complaint, FIR was registered petitioner was arrested on 04.07.2026 , and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is pending in preliminary stage, and the alleged offence is serious in nature.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Grave nature of offence, which is against a woman,
 - (ii) investigation is pending
 - (iii) Premature stage,
 - (iv) Serious accusation of offence u/s 75 of BNS,
 - (v) And also considering other facts and circumstances
- this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 23rd day of July, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur(i/c)**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s R. Loganathan
Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate Ambattur
The Inspector of police, JJ Nagar police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai