

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Tuesday, the 28th day of July, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:2882/2026

(CNR No.TNTR010060992026)

Ezhilvendhan, S/o Baraman

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Ponneri Police station
(Crime No:193/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 15.07.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s P. Chandrasekar, M. Sathyasai Eswari, and the State / respondent police represented by the learned Public Prosecutor Thiru. N.R. Sreedharan Babu and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 04.07.2026 Crime No. 193/2026 on the file of Ponneri police station registered for the offence u/s 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 311, 351(3) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose, the petitioner was not at all present in the place of occurrence, the respondent police falsely implicated only since the petitioner belongs to a nearby area, no offence was committed, only since he is a friend of A1, he has been falsely implicated, he is in custody for the 25 days, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 03.07.2026 at about 8.30 PM when the defacto complainant was coming near Ponneri Eiambedu company, the petitioner along with another accused waylaid him and demanded money for buying alcohol, when the defacto complainant refused to part way with money, they assaulted him and robbed his bracelet ring, cellphone and assaulted him with beer bottle, and escaped, based on the complaint, FIR was registered u/s 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 310(2), 311, 351(3) of BNS and the petitioner was arrested on 04.07.2026, properties recovered, the petitioner is having one previous case and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the investigation is still pending and the petitioner is having criminal antecedents with one previous case.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Grave nature of offence,
 - (ii) Investigation is pending,
 - (iii) Premature stage,
 - (iv) Serious accusation of offence u/s 311 of BNS,
 - (v) Value of the properties allegedly involved,
 - (vi) that the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor that since the petitioner is having a previous case, if he is released and then absconds, investigation in both the cases against him would also be affected,
 - (vii) And also considering other facts and circumstances
- this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 28th day of July, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s P. Chandrasekar
Thiru. N.R. Sreedharan Babu, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ponneri
The Inspector of police, Ponneri police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai