

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Monday, the 20th day of July, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:2837/2026

(CNR No.TNTR010060312026)

Aravindh @ Aravindhan, S/o Anandan

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Pullambakkam Police station
(Crime No: 59/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 15.05.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s D.Lemuvel, C. Murugan , and the State / respondent police represented by the learned Public Prosecutor Thiru. N.R. Sreedharan Babu and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioners who were remanded on 11.5.2026 in Crime No.59/2026 on the file of Pullambakkam police station registered for the offence u/s 109 of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioners argued that, petitioners are innocents, they have been falsely implicated only due to previous enmity the injured is already discharged, only based on the confession of the co-accused , petitioners were implicated falsely , even the complaint was given by the victim's wife, the petitioner was not at all present in the place of occurrence, co-accused was already granted bail by this Court on 08.06.2026, detention order as against the petitioner has already been revoked, there is a threat from the opposite parties, hence, he apprehends even to go to the respondent police station, petitioner is in custody for 71 days, investigation is completed , petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 10.05.2026 at about 12.20 PM, when the defacto complainant's husband was working in his shop situated at due to previous enmity, petitioner along with other accused came and abused him in filthy language, assaulted him with knife, he sustained injuries, admitted in hospital, and later discharged, based on the complaint, FIR was registered , the petitioner was arrested on 11.05.2026 , petitioner is having 4 previous cases and he has been detained under Act 14 of 1982 as per the detention Order No.22/2026 and the investigation is pending.

The petitioners states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, petitioner is a local resident. The injured person is already discharged. It appears that substantial part of investigation is completed as the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 71 days.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Nature of offence
- (ii) long duration of custody of the petitioner for the 71 days
- (iii) That the injured person is already discharged,
- (iv) Co-accused was already granted bail by this Court,
- (v) it appears that substantial part of investigation is completed,
- (vi) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a local resident.
- (vii) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner being local resident will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
- (viii) considering other facts and circumstances,
this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the petitioner on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tiruvallur and on condition that the petitioner to sign before Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tiruvallur daily at 10.30 AM for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (*AIR 2005 SCW 5560*). If the 2nd petitioner absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 20th day of July, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s D.Lemuvel
Thiru. N.R. Sreedharan Babu, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tiruvallur
The Inspector of police, Pullarambakkam police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai