

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Monday, the 20th day of July, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:2839/2026

(CNRNo. TNTR010060252026)

Meganathan, S/o Seenu

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Tiruttani Police station
(Crime No: 200/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 13.07.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on 16.07.2026 for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s S. Munivelu, N. Balaji, S. Praveen., M. Bharathwaj, and the State/ respondent police represented by Thiru.P.N. Udhayakumar, the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 14.06.2026 in Crime No.200/2026 on the file of Tiruttani police station registered for the offence u/s 109(1), 115(2), 296(b), of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocents and he has no committed any offence as alleged by the respondent police, there was only wordy quarrel which ended up in push and pull, the quarrel was happened only due to previous enmity and also since he is a friend of co-accused, the injured person is already discharged, the petitioner has no bad antecedents, only based on the confession of co-accused, he has been arrested, co-accused was already granted bail by this Court in CMP NO.1534/2026 dated 27.04.2026, he is in custody for 37 days, respectively, this is the 4th bail petition, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 09.04.2026 at about 7.10 PM, when the defacto complainant's son was coming near Tiruttani Murugappa Nagar Nagalamman temple, due to previous enmity, the petitioner along with other accused made unlawful assembly, waylaid him and assaulted with hands and wooden log, and threatened with dire consequences, the defacto complainant sustained severe injuries over his head and chest, admitted in hospital and later discharged, based on the complaint, FIR was registered u/s 296(b), 109, 351(3) of BNS, petitioner was arrested on 14.06.2026 the petitioner is having two previous cases and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, petitioner is a local resident. That the injured person is already discharged. It appears that substantial part of investigation is completed as the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 37 days.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Nature of offence
- (ii) Long duration of custody of the petitioner for the 37 days
- (iii) That the injured person is already discharged,
- (iv) it appears that substantial part of investigation is completed,
- (v) co-accused were already granted
- (vi) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a local resident
- (vii) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner being permanent resident will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
- (viii) also considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the Petitioner on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate , Tiruttani and on condition that the petitioner to sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 AM for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (*AIR 2005 SCW 5560*). If the petitioner absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 20th day July , 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s S. Munivelu,
Thiru. P.N. Udhayakumar, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate Tiruttani
The Inspector of police, Tiruttani police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai