

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Monday, the 20th day of July, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:2814/2026

(CNRNo. TNTR010060242026)

Meganathan, S/o Seenu

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Tiruttani Police station
(Crime No: 328/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 13.07.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on 16.07.2026 for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s S. Munivelu, N. Balaji, S. Praveen., M. Bharathwaj, and the State/ respondent police represented by Thiru.P.N. Udhayakumar, the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 14.06.2026 in Crime No. 328/2026 on the file of Tiruttani police station registered for the offence u/s 109, 115(2), 296(b), 351(3), 3(5) of BNS r/w 4 of TNPWH Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only due to the previous enmity. The dispute arose due to missing of the two wheeler of the accused persons, victim is discharged, it is only due to property dispute, and subsequent push and pull, in which he fell down and sustained injuries, only due to the aggressive conduct of the defacto complainant, the occurrence happened, co-accused were already granted bail by this Court in CMP No.2403/2026 dated 03.07.2026 and 2677/2026 dated 13.07.2026, dated the petitioner is in custody for the past 37 days in this case, she is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 13.06.2026 at 2.30 PM, the petitioner along with the other accused waylaid the defacto complainant's husband near VCR Kandigai graveyard, and due to the previous enmity over the dispute regarding missing of the two wheeler of the accused, all the accused abused the defacto complainant's husband and assaulted him with knife, and also assaulted the defacto complainant, the defacto complainant's husband sustained grievous injuries, admitted in hospital and later discharged, based on the complaint, FIR was registered and the petitioner was arrested on 14.06.2026, and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

Public Prosecutor, petitioner is a local resident. The injured person is already discharged. It appears that substantial part of investigation is completed as the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 37 days.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) nature of offence,
 - (ii) duration of custody of the petitioner for the 20 days
 - (iii) that the injured person is already discharged,
 - (iv) it appears that substantial part of investigation is completed,
 - (v) co-accused were already granted bail by this Court
 - (vi) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a local resident
 - (vii) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner being local resident will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
 - (viii) considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the Petitioner on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Tiruttani and on condition that the petitioner to sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 AM for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (*AIR 2005 SCW 5560*). If the petitioner absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 20th day of July , 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s S. Munivelu,
Thiru. P.N. Udhayakumar, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate Tiruttani
The Inspector of police, Tiruttani police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai