

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Tuesday, the 21st day of July 2026

CrI.M.P.No: 2817/2026

(CNR No.TNTR010060142026)

Immanuvel S/o.Karnan

....Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,

Sholavaram Police Station

(Crime No:423/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 13.07.2026 u/s 483 of B.N.S.S, praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M/s.P.K.Ilavarasan, S.Gowthammar and the respondent/State represented by Thiru. N.R. Sreedharan Babu, Public Prosecutor and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner on bail who was remanded on 03.07.2026 Cr.No.423/2026 on the file of Sholavaram Police Station for the alleged offences u/s 296(b), 351(3) of BNS and u/s 3 of TNPPDL Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, the petitioner is innocent, no way connected with the alleged offences, the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case and is ready to abide any condition imposed by this court, the petitioner is in judicial custody for 19 days the petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation hence prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

Notice given to concerned police. The learned Public prosecutor for the State raised objection to grant bail to the petitioner and argued that, the defacto complainant is a auto driver for rental, on 01.07.2026 at 10.00 p.m., while defacto complainant was parking his auto outside of the house, at 12.00 a.m., he noticed that the auto's front mirror was broken worth about Rs.30,000/- and also he known that the petitioner and along with other accused broken the many auto glasses in other streets also and escaped from the place, based on the complaint FIR was registered u/s 3 of TNPPDL Act and investigation is pending. No previous case as against the petitioner and there is a proposal for detention under Act 14 of 1982 is pending.

The petitioner states in his petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

x

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the alleged offences are serious in nature and the investigation is pending and there is a proposal for detention under Act 14 of 1982 is pending.

Therefore, on considering,

1. grave nature of offence,
 2. investigation is still pending,
 3. serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner ,
 4. huge value of property allegedly involved,
 5. proposal for detention under Act 14 of 1982 is pending as against the petitioner,
 6. also the other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 21st day of July,
2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to:-

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s.P.K.Ilavarasan
Thiru. N.R. Sreedharan Babu, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No II Ponneri
The Inspector of police, Sholavaram police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai.