

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Monday, the 20th day of July, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:2831/2026

(CNR No.TNTR010060022026)

Jeeva, S/o Annamalai

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Arani Police station
(Crime No: 50/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 13.07.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on 17.06.2026 for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M/s D. Somasundram, S. Sathish, D. Venkatesan, and the State / respondent police represented by the learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.P.N. Udhayakumar and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 30.06.2026 in Crime No. 50/2026 on the file of Arani police station registered for the offence u/s 126(2), 296(b), 132, 351(3) of BNS r/w 7(1)(a) of CLA Act and section 25(1-A) of Arms Act

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose, only since the respondent police unnecessarily threatened the petitioner and his friend, they had quarreled with the police, only for that reason, they have been falsely implicated in this case, no weapon was seized from the petitioner, he has no previous antecedents, and it is only a *suo moto* FIR, all the witnesses are police witnesses, hence there is no possibility of tampering the witnesses, petitioner is in custody for the 21 days in this case, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 30.06.2026 at about 2.00 PM when the defacto complainant who is the SI of Police along with police party on surveillance near Arani Mosque, the petitioner was standing in the road side with deadly weapons of patta knife, and threatening the public and causing nuisance to the public, when the police intervened and warned him, he abused the police in filthy language and also tried to assault them, with knife, hence, all the accused were arrested, based on the complaint, FIR was registered, and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, petitioner is a local resident and first offender. It appears that substantial part of investigation is completed as the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 21 days.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Nature of offence
 - (ii) Long duration of custody of the petitioner for the 21 days
 - (iii) it appears that substantial part of investigation is completed,
 - (iv) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a local resident and first offender,
 - (v) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner being local resident will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
 - (vi) also considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the Petitioner on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ponneri and on condition that the petitioner to sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 AM for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (*AIR 2005 SCW 5560*). If the petitioner absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 20th day July, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s D. Somasundram
Thiru.P.N. Udhayakumar, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ponneri
The Inspector of police, Arani police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai