

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Monday, the 20th day of July, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:2786/2026

(CNR No. TNTR010059912026)

S. Mannar Samy, S/o P. Sekar

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Tiruvallur Taluk Police station
(Crime No: unknown /2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 09.07.2026 u/s 482 of BNSS praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M.Dinesh Ravi, A.Monisha, V. Saravanan, S. Harish Kumar, A.E. SaiGokul, and the State / respondent police represented by the learned Public Prosecutor Thiru. N.R. Sreedharan Babu and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 482 of BNSS seeking to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner who apprehends arrest in Crime No. not known/2026 on the file of Tiruvallur Taluk police station registered for the offence u/s 303(2), 326(a), 121(2) of BNS r/w 21(1) of MMDR Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated . Even though the petitioner showed the Bill for the carrying the sand for which licence was obtained and when the driver tried to show the bill through whatsapp, the respondent police wantonly registered the case, the petitioner has no bad antecedents, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the anticipatory bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the defacto complainant , the officials of Mines and Minerals were on surveillance near Pattaraiperumbudur Tollgate, the driver of the lorry bearing Reg.No. TN20DL6066 was carrying 40 MT sand , when the defacto complainant asked about the bill , the driver of the vehicle escaped, the vehicle and the property seized, the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle , the value of the sand is Rs.5,200/-, based on the complaint, FIR was registered in Crime No.204/2026 u/s 303(2), 326(a) of BNS r/w 21(1) of MMDR Act and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the investigation is pending in preliminary stage and the alleged offence is serious in nature

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Grave nature of offence, which is detrimental to the environment
- (ii) Investigation is pending,
- (iii) Premature stage
- (iv) Serious accusation of offence u/s 303(2) of BNS
- (v) value of the property seized,
- (vi) And also considering other facts and circumstances

this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 20th day of July, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s M.Dinesh Ravi
Thiru. N.R. Sreedharan Babu, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tiruvallur
The Inspector of police, Tiruvallur Taluk police Station