

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Wednesday , the 29th day of July, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:2797/2026

(CNR No.TNTR010059812026)

G. Anuj Kumar, S/o Ghamandi Ram

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Vangaram Police station
(Crime No: 479/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 10.07.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s N. Muthalagan, C. Jeniva Rani, P.K. Rajangam and the State / respondent police represented by the learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 29.06.2026 in Crime No.479/2026 on the file of Vanagaram, police station registered for the offence u/s 79, 78, 75 of BNS r/w 4 of TNPWH Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only due to wordy quarrel the petitioner had with the defacto complainant during the bus travel, the petitioner is a driver, only due to wordy quarrel, she lodged a false complaint, he has no bad antecedents, and he is in custody for the past 31 days in this case, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the petitioner used to follow the defacto complainant who is a working woman and on the date of occurrence, the petitioner waylaid her and abused her in filthy lineage and attempted to outrage her modesty by unzipping his pants, and based on the complaint, FIR was registered and the petitioner was arrested on 29.06.2026, and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the investigation is pending in preliminary stage and the alleged offence is serious in nature

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Grave nature of offence, which is against a woman,
- (ii) Investigation is pending,
- (iii) Premature stage,
- (iv) Serious accusation of offence u/s 75 , 78, and 79 of BNS
- (v) And also considering other facts and circumstances

this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 29th day of July ,
2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s N. Muthalagan
Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu , Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Poonamallee
The Inspector of police, Vanagaram police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai