

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Monday, the 20th day of July, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:2789/2026

(CNR NO. TNTR010059772026)

Murugan, S/o Kumar

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Ramapuram Police station
(Crime No: 271/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 10.07.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s Bharath, Prasanth, D. Udhayakumar and the State / respondent police represented by Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 04.06.2026 in Crime No. 271/2026 on the file of Ramapuram Police station registered for the offence u/s 75, 78, 79, of BNS r/w 4 of TNPWH Act

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only on wrong identity, the defacto complainant lodged a false complaint, the petitioner was not at all present in the place of occurrence, only since some other persons had verbally scolded her, for which she lodged a false complaint, the respondent police without proper enquiry only for the reason that the petitioner is residing in the nearby area, with wrong identity, arrested the petitioner, there is no injury or any harassment to the victim, investigation completed, he has been arrested he is in custody for the 47 days, this is the 3rd bail petition, in this case, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 04.06.2026 at about 3.30 AM in the early morning when the defacto complainant along with her friends were returning from work, and going to Hostel at , the petitioner abused them in filthy language and he followed them and standing near their house at 3.45 PM showing some obscene photographs and videos and harassed the defacto complainant, when the colleagues of the defacto complainant came, the petitioner escaped from the place, based on the complaint, FIR was registered the petitioner was arrested on 04.06.2026 and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the investigation is still pending in preliminary stage and there is no change in circumstances,

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Grave nature of offence, which is against a woman,
 - (ii) Investigation is still pending,
 - (iii) Serious accusation of offence u/s 75 of BNS,
 - (iv) There is no change in circumstances
 - (v) And also considering other facts and circumstances
- this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 20th day of July, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s Bharath,
Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Poonamallee
The Inspector of police, Ramapuram Police station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai