

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Tmt. P. REVATHY, M.A., M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur (i/c)
Thursday, the 23rd day of July, 2026
Crl.M.P.No:2793/2026
(CNR No.TNTR010059752026)

Udhayakumar, S/o Srinivasan

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Kanakammchatram Police station
(Crime No: 145/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 10.07.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s Abinesh, K. Balaji, K. Babu, and the State / respondent police represented by the learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 29.06.2026 in Crime No. 145/2026 on the file of Kanakammachatram police station registered for the offence u/s 303(2) of BNS. The Bail petition u/s 480 of BNSS was dismissed by the Judicial Magistrate, Tiruttani in CMP NO. 1100/2026 dated 09.07.2026

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose, he is an unnamed accused and unnecessarily he has been implicated , only on suspicion, even the petitioner sustained injuries there is no commission of offence, properties already recovered, the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 23 days, , he is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the defacto complainant lodged the complaint stating that the Aluminium Electrical wire about 30 feet length worth about Rs.6500/- in his land situated at Adikkalpattu Village, which was committed theft, the petitioner was arrested on 29.06.2026, based on the complaint, FIR was registered, property recovered, and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Special Public Prosecutor, petitioner is a local resident and first offender. The property has already been recovered. It appears that substantial part of investigation is completed as the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 25 days.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) nature of offence,
 - (ii) long duration of custody of the petitioner for the 25 days
 - (iii) it appears that substantial part of investigation is completed,
 - (iv) that the property has already been recovered,
 - (v) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a local resident and first offender,
 - (vi) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner being local resident will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
 - (vii) considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the Petitioner on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate , Tiruttani and on condition that the petitioner to sign before the respondent police daily twice at 10.00 AM and 5.30 PM for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (*AIR 2005 SCW 5560*). If the petitioner absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 23rd day July, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur(i/c)**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s Abinash
Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate , Tiruttani
The Inspector of police, Kanakammachatram police Station
The Superintendent, Sub Jail, Tiruttani