

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Wednesday, the 22nd day of July, 2026

CrI.M.P.No:2792/2026

(CNR No.TNTR010059642026)

1. Sai Ganesh, S/o Vijayakumar
2. Murugan, S/o Subiramani
3. Janakiraman @ Seesa, S/o Babu

....Petitioners/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thiruvottiyur Police Station
(Crime No: 362/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 10.07.2026 u/s 483 of B.N.S.S., praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s J. Saravanakumar, K. Gopinathan, L. Sathishnatha, S. Saranya, and the State / respondent police represented by the learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioners who were remanded on 23.06.2026 in Crime No. 362/2026 on the file of Thiruvottiyur police station registered for the offence u/s 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 109, 351(3) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocents, they have been falsely implicated only due to the false complaint lodged due to previous enmity, the injured person is already discharged, the petitioners have no bad antecedents, there was only push and pull, no weapon was seized from the petitioners, they are in custody for the 25 days, they are ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the defacto complainant's son Sai Ganesh had previous enmity with the petitioners, that on the date of occurrence, on 27.06.2026 at about 3.00 PM, when the defacto complainant's son was coming near Varadharajan Street, Railway Track, the petitioners along with other accused waylaid him and assaulted with wooden log, he sustained injuries, admitted in hospital and later discharged, based on the complaint, FIR was registered, petitioners were arrested on 28.06.2026, the 1st petitioner is having one previous case and he has been detained as per Detention Order No.325/ BBCDEFGISSSV/ 2026 dt. 16.07.2026, and the investigation is pending.

The learned counsel for the petitioner filed memo stating that he is withdrawing this petition in respect of the 1st petitioner (Sai Ganesh).

The petitioners states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, petitioners 2 and 3 are local residents and first offenders. It appears that substantial part of investigation is completed as the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 25 days.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Nature of offence
 - (ii) Long duration of custody of the petitioners 2 to 3 for the 25 days
 - (iii) As per the representation of learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that substantial part of investigation is completed,
 - (iv) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioners 2 to 3 are local residents and first offenders
 - (v) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners 2 to 3 being local residents will cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition,
 - (vi) also considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners 2 to 3 on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the petitioners 2 to 3 on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvottiyur and on condition that the petitioners 2 to 3 to sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 AM for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (*AIR 2005 SCW 5560*). If the petitioners 2 to 3 abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

This petition in respect of the 1st petitioner is dismissed as withdrawn.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 22nd day July, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s J. Saravanakumar
Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate Thiruvottiyur
The Inspector of police, Thiruvottiyur police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai