

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Tmt. P. REVATHY, M.A., M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur (i/c)
Thursday, the 23rd day of July, 2026
Crl.M.P.No:2735/2026
(CNR No.TNTR010058132026)

Vignesh Raja, S/o Damodaran

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Vengal Police station
(Crime No: 198/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 08.07.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s V.N. Suresh, E. Ramkumar, and the State / respondent police represented by the learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 04.07.2026 in Crime No. 198/2026 on the file of Vengal police station registered for the offence u/s 278, 123 of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose, the petitioner was not at all present in the place of occurrence, nothing was seized from the petitioner, contrabands were already seized, the seized tablets are only painkillers and not a banned substance, there is no harm or injury to anyone in this case, it was kept only for perusal use, section 123 of BNS is not attracted, the petitioner is in custody for the past 20 days, the petitioner is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 04.07.2026 at about 6.30 PM, , when the defacto complainant, the S.I. of Police based on the discreet information conducted the surveillance near Thamaraiuppam to Periyapalayam Road, Pagalmedu Butterfly Godown , the petitioner along with other accused were in illegal possession of banned tablets of Tapentadol 100 mg totally 6 Nos Thus he was arrested, FIR was registered, the petitioner was formally arrested on 04.07.2026, and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, investigation is pending in preliminary stage and the alleged offence is serious in nature.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence
- (ii) investigation is pending
- (iii) premature stage,
- (iv) serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner u/s 123 of BNS
- (v) nature and value of the contrabands seized,
- (v) and also considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 23rd day of July ,
2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur(i/c)**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s V.N. Suresh
Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tiruvallur
The Inspector of police, Vengal police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai