

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR**

**Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)**

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

**Monday, the 20<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2026**

**Crl.M.P.No:2744/2026**

**(CNR No.TNTR010058082026)**

Sathish, S/o Rajendiran

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
All Women Police station , Thiruvottiyur  
(Crime No: 20/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

**Petition dated: 08.07.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail**

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s V. Nirmalraj, S. Kalaiyarasan, and the State / respondent police represented by the learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

**ORDER**

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 05.07.2026 in Crime No. 20/2026 on the file of All Women police station, Thiruvottiyur registered for the offence u/s 66(c), 66(d), 67 of IT Act and 64(2)(M), 308, 303, 319, 318(4) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated . The petitioner is no way connected with the alleged offence. Prior to the arrest of petitioner, the respondent police illegally detained him in locker room and obtained signature of his while producing for remand, only due to break-up of love between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, and only since the parents of the defacto complainant refused for the marriage, at their instigation, the defacto complainant lodged a false complaint with concocted story. Even the petitioner was ready for the marriage. There is no material seized from the petitioner to attract the section of offence under IT Act. The petitioner is in custody for the 16 days, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the defacto complainant lodged the complaint stating that the petitioner is her relatives and they were having friendship, when the petitioner wanted to marry the defacto complainant , since her parents did not accept for the same, the petitioner started to threaten the defacto complainant with the private photos taken during their relationship and had sexual contact with her many times, and also he extorted money from her by threat, thus, she approached the police and based on the complaint, FIR was registered, u/s 66(c), 66(D), 67 of IT Act 2000 and 64(2), 308, 303, 351(iii) r/w 319, 318(4) of BNS and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the investigation is pending in preliminary stage

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Grave nature of offence, which is against a woman,
- (ii) Investigation is pending,
- (iii) Premature stage , as the statement of the victim is not yet recorded,
- (iv) Serious accusation of offence u/s 66(d) of IT Act
- (v) And also considering other facts and circumstances

this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner

**In the result, this petition is dismissed.**

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 20<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Tiruvallur**

**Copy to**

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s V. Nirmalraj  
Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu, Public Prosecutor for the State  
The Judicial Magistrate Thiruvottiyur  
The Inspector of police, All Women police Station Thiruvottiyur  
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai