

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Monday, the 20th day of July, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:2709/2026

(CNR No.TNTR010057692026)

Rohith, S/o Anthony

....Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
R.K.Pet Police Station
(Crime No: 235/2025)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 07.07.2026 u/s 483 of B.N.S.S., praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s M. Thamilselvan, D. Ranesh, D. Jagadeesan, M. Ramadoss, and the State / respondent police represented by the learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 10.06.2026 in Crime No. 235/2026 on the file of R.K.pet police station registered for the offence u/s 305, 331(4) of BNS. The Bail petition u/s 480 of BNSS was dismissed by the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Pallipattu in CMP No.144/2026 dated 19.06.2026.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only for statistical purpose, he is an unnamed accused and only on suspicion he has been arrested, he is a social activist and unnecessarily he has been implicated only based on the confession of the co-accused with whom he had acquaintance, there is no commission of offence, properties already recovered, the petitioner is in judicial custody for the 41 days, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the defacto complainant lodged the complaint stating that on 14.07.2025 at defacto complainant locked her house and since her husband is admitted in CMC Hospital, locked her house situated at Ammaiarkuppam Village, and on 14.07.2025, neighbor called her and informed that her house door was broken, and when she returned found that the 5 sovereign gold jewels worth were committed theft, based on the complaint, FIR was registered in Crime No.235/2025 u/s 331(4), 305 of BNS, the petitioner was arrested on 10.06.2026 only two grams were recovered, and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the investigation is still pending and the alleged offence is serious nature and also the properties are not yet recovered,

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Grave nature of offence,
- (ii) Investigation is still pending,
- (iii) Serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner
- (iv) Value of the property allegedly involved, which is not yet recovered,
- (v) And also considering other facts and circumstances

this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 20th day July, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s M. Thamilselvan,
Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu, Public Prosecutor for the State
The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Pallipattu
The Inspector of police, R.K.Pet police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai