

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Thursday, the 3rd day of September, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:2618/2026

(CNR No. TNTR010055192026)

Sudhakar, S/o Ramamurthy

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Tiruvallur Town Police station,
(Crime No: 271/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Vijaya, W/o Pakkiri

..Intervener/Defacto complainant

Petition dated: 02.07.2026 u/s 482 of BNSS praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioner Counsel M/s C. Loganathan, M. Nagoor Moideen, A. Jagadeshwara, R. Lawence Jeeva Kumar, T. Maneesh, , and the State / respondent police represented by the learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu and M/s M. Kamali, P.Karthik, counsel for the intervener/defacto complainant upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 482 of BNSS seeking to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner who apprehends arrest in Crime No.271/2026 on the file of Tiruvallur Town Police station registered for the offence u/s 296(b), 324(4), 351(3) of BNS r/w 4 of TNWH Act.

There is no representation for the petitioner for the past 3 hearings. Mediation report received. Hence, orders passed on merits.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 22.06.2026 at about 11.10 AM, when the defacto complainant was in her house along with her sister, the petitioner along with other accused came and due to the civil dispute, they abused them in filthy language and damaged the compound wall and when they questioned, they assaulted her and her sister, and threatened with dire consequences, Based on the complaint, FIR was registered and the investigation is pending.

The learned counsel for the intervener submitted that the petitioner wantonly with hooligans damaged the compound wall causing damage to the value of Rs.3,00,000/- and also assaulted her. If granted anticipatory bail, they would again threaten them. Hence, prayed to dismiss the petition

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the investigation is pending in preliminary stage and the alleged offence is serious in nature . This case was referred for mediation and returned as Not settled.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Grave nature of offence, which is against a woman,
 - (ii) investigation is still pending,
 - (iii) Serious accusation of offence u/s 324(4) of BNS
 - (iv) Huge value of the damage caused to the property of the victim
 - (v) And also considering other facts and circumstances
- this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 3rd day of September, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s C. Loganathan
Thiru.N.R. Sreedharan Babu , Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tiruvallur
The Inspector of police, Tiruvallur Town police Station
The Intervener's Advocate M/s M. Kamali