

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Wednesday , the 10th day of June, 2026

CrI.M.P.No:2053/2026

M. Govidaiah, S/o Rangaiah,

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thiruvalangadu Police station
(Crime No: 71/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 03.06.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s SL. Prabbakaran, G. Vasanthakumar, , the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 02.06.2026 in Crime No. 71/2026 on the file of Thiruvalangadu police station registered for the offence u/s 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 351(3) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated in this case only since there was enmity between them, the occurrence is entirely an accident, the petitioner had no intention, the injured person is already discharged, the petitioner and the defacto complainant are relatives and belong to the same village, the respondent police without proper enquiry arrested the petitioner, he has not bad antecedents, and no enmity with the injured, he is in judicial custody for the 9 days, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the petitioner during temple festival and there was previous enmity between the petitioner and the defacto complainant who are relatives, and on the date of occurrence on 31.05.2026, when the defacto complainant was present in the temple festival at about 8.00 PM, at the petitioner intentionally poured kerosene and set fire, the defacto complainant and his elder daughter sustained burn injuries, admitted in hospital on 31.05.2026 and discharged only on 09.06.2026, and later discharged, based on the complaint, FIR was registered the petitioner was arrested on 02.06.2026 and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the investigation is pending in preliminary stage and the alleged offence is serious in nature.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Grave nature of offence,
 - (ii) Investigation is pending,
 - (iii) Premature stage, as the first remand period itself is not completed,
 - (iv) Serious accusation of offence as against the petitioner u/s 109(1) of BNS,
 - (v) And also considering other facts and circumstances
- this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 10th day June , 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s SL. Prabbakaran
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate Tiruttani
The Inspector of police, Thiruvallangadu Police station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai